

DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

For:

SITE DEVELOPMENT
ASSESSORS MAP 57, PARCEL 70
320 CONCORD STREET
ROCKLAND, MASSACHUSETTS

Located:


320 CONCORD STREET
ROCKLAND, MASSACHUSETTS

Submitted to:

TOWN OF ROCKLAND

Prepared For:

WALL STREET DEVELOPMENT CORP.
2 WARTHIN CIRCLE
NORWOOD, MA 02062



Professional Civil Engineering • Project Management • Land Planning
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101, Norwell, Massachusetts 02061
Tel.: (781) 792-3900 Facsimile: (781) 792-0333
www.mckeng.com

January 3, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. NARRATIVE	<u>Page</u>
▪ Project Summary	1
▪ Pre-Development Condition	1
▪ Post-Development Condition	2
▪ Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMP's)	2
▪ Erosion and Sedimentation Control	3
▪ Compliance with Stormwater Management Standards	3
▪ Figure 1 (USGS Locus Map)	5
▪ Figure 2 (FEMA Flood Map)	6
▪ Figure 3 (NRCS Soils Map)	7
▪ Figure 4 (Aerial Photograph)	8
▪ Figure 5 (NHESP Map)	9
2. APPENDICES	
▪ APPENDIX A: Pre-Development Condition	
▪ APPENDIX B: Post Development Condition	
▪ APPENDIX C: Checklist for Stormwater Report	
▪ APPENDIX D: Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement Supplemental BMP Calculations	
▪ APPENDIX E: Soil Testing Data	
APPENDIX F: Best Management Practices Operation & Maintenance Plans	

**Drainage Calculations and Stormwater Management Plan
320 Concord Street
Rockland, Massachusetts**

Project Summary

The project proponent, Wall Street Development Corporation proposes to develop 320 Concord Street in Rockland, Massachusetts consisting of one (1) parcel as shown on the Rockland Assessor's Map 57, Parcel 70 comprised of approximately 0.66 acres. The site is located mainly within the Residential R-1 Zoning District.

The proposed development will consist of the construction of four single-family dwelling units along with the construction of an asphalt access roadway and driveways, installation stormwater management systems, utilities, site grading and landscaping.

This report contains stormwater runoff calculations for the pre-development and post-development conditions and includes the sizing of the proposed stormwater best management practices (BMPs). The proposed and existing site conditions are illustrated on the project *site plans* entitled "Site Development Plans, (Assessor's Map 57, Parcel 70), 320 Concord Street, Rockland, Massachusetts", prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc. dated October 7, 2021 and revised hereafter.

Refer to Figure 1- USGS Locus Map for the location of the parcel.

Pre-Development Condition

The parcel is currently comprised of an existing single-family foundation with an overgrown grassed yard and naturally sloping terrain. Wooded terrain is located to the rear of the property and partly along the frontage to Concord Street. The site is bordered by Concord Street to the southwest, residential properties to the southeast and northwest, and the Harmon Golf Course to the northeast. The topography of the site is relatively flat and ranges in elevation from approximately 89.9 ft. (NAVD 88) at the rear of the existing building to an elevation of approximately 88.1 ft. along the northwestern property line. Portions of runoff emanating from the site generally flows in a northwesterly direction toward the existing property line. There are no wetland resource areas located on the site at 320 Concord Street.

The site is located within the Zone X of the Flood Insurance Rate Map, as shown on the current FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map Panel No. 25023C0182K with an effective date of July 6, 2021. Refer to Figure 2 – FEMA Flood Map.

The soil types as identified by the Soil Survey, Plymouth County, MA prepared by the NRCS Soil Conservation Service (NRCS) are classified as 260A-Sudbury Fine Sandy Loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, with hydrologic soil group (HSG) A/D. Soil testing conducted by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc. (MEG) on November 5, 2019 identified the subsoil to be comprised of loamy sand underlaid by a sand parent layer.

Refer to Figure 3 - Soil Map for the NRCS delineation of soil types and Appendix E – Soil Testing Results for supporting data.

In the pre- and post- development stormwater analysis, the watershed area analyzed was approximately 0.66 acres consisting of the subject parcel to be developed. The watershed consists of four (4) design points. Refer to Pre-Development Watershed

Delineation Plan WS-1 in Appendix A for a delineation of drainage subareas for the pre-development design condition.

The SCS Technical Release 20 (TR-20) and Technical Release 55 (TR-55) method-based program “HydroCAD” was employed to develop pre- and post-development peak flows. Drainage calculations were prepared for the pre-development condition for the 2, 10, 25 and 100-year, Type III storm events. Refer to Appendix A for computer results, soil characteristics, cover descriptions and times of concentrations for all subareas.

Post-Development Condition

The proposed development will consist of four single-family buildings with a bituminous concrete access roadway and driveways, site landscaping, stormwater management system, utilities and associated infrastructure. The project will access utility infrastructure located on Concord Street, including water, electric, telephone, cable, gas and sewer. The stormwater management system and will be designed to fully comply with all standards of the Department of Environment Protection’s Stormwater Management Regulations.

Watershed areas were analyzed in the post-development condition to design low impact stormwater management facilities to mitigate impacts resulting from developing the property. The objective in designing the proposed drainage facilities for the project was to maintain existing drainage patterns to the extent practicable and to ensure that the post-development rates of runoff are less than pre-development rates at the design points.

Refer to the Post-Development Watershed Plan WS-2 in Appendix B for a delineation of post-development drainage subareas. The design points for the post-development design conditions correspond to those analyzed for the pre-development design condition.

The proposed system utilizes deep sump hooded catch basins, proprietary pre-treatment units, a subsurface infiltration system and a rain garden. The infiltration BMP’s were designed to accommodate peak flows generated by all storms up to the 100-year storm event. Refer to site plans for the drainage system design. All BMPs shall be supported by a comprehensive Construction Phase Pollution Prevention and Erosion Control Plan and Post-Development BMP Operation and Maintenance Plan.

Drainage calculations were prepared by employing the SCS TR-20 Methods for the 1, 2, 10, 25 and 100-year, type III storm events. Refer to Appendix B for computer results.

A comparison of the pre-development and post-development peak rates of runoff indicate that the peak rates of runoff for the post-development condition at all Design Points will be less than the pre-development condition for all storm events.

Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMP's)

Treatment stream for the redevelopment shall consist of deep sump hooded catch basins a proprietary pre-treatment unit, and subsurface infiltration tank systems to achieve the required removal of at least 80% of the total suspended solids (TSS) and mitigate the anticipated pollutant loading.

Refer to the TSS Removal Worksheets in Appendix D for TSS removal rates.

Erosion and Sedimentation Controls

Compost filter tube (Silt sock) erosion control barriers will be placed at the limit of work prior to the commencement of any construction activity. The integrity of the silt sock will be maintained by periodic inspection and replacement as necessary. The silt sock will remain in place until the first course of pavement has been placed and all side slopes have been loamed and seeded and vegetation has been established. Refer to the Erosion Control details on the Site Development Plans and BMP Operation and Maintenance Plan for proposed erosion control measures to be employed for the project.

Compliance with Stormwater Management Standards

Standard 1 – No New Untreated Discharges

The proposed redevelopment will not introduce any new untreated discharges to a wetland area or waters of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. All discharges from the site will be treated through proposed stormwater quality controls such as deep sump hooded catch basins, pre-treatment structures and subsurface infiltration tank systems including the establishment of proper maintenance procedures.

Standard 2 – Peak Rate Attenuation

In the pre-development and post-development stormwater analysis, the watershed area analyzed was approximately 0.66 acres consisting of the subject parcel to be developed. Refer to Existing Watershed Delineation Plan WS-1 for a delineation of drainage subareas for the pre-development design condition and refer to Post-Development Watershed Delineation Plan WS-2 for a delineation of drainage subareas for the post-development design condition.

Drainage calculations were performed by employing SCS TR-20 methods for the 1, 2, 10, 25, and 100-year Type III storm events. Refer to Appendix A and B for computer results. All drainage structures will be designed employing the Rational Method and the Mass. DPW Design Manual to accommodate peak flows generated by a minimum of a 25-year storm event or a 100-year storm event where applicable. The stormwater management systems were designed to accommodate peak flows generated by a 100-year storm event.

The peak rates of runoff are as follows:

Pre-Development vs. Post-Development Peak Rates of Runoff

Design Point	<u>2 Year Storm</u> (3.35 Inches)		<u>10 Year Storm</u> (4.96 Inches)		<u>25 Year Storm</u> (6.21 Inches)		<u>100 Year Storm</u> (8.73 Inches)	
	Exist. (CFS)	Prop. (CFS)	Exist. (CFS)	Prop. (CFS)	Exist. (CFS)	Prop. (CFS)	Exist. (CFS)	Prop. (CFS)
Design Point 1	0.31	0.05	0.80	0.18	1.24	0.30	2.20	0.58
Design Point 2	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.05	0.13	0.09	0.27	0.17
Design Point 3	0.20	0.03	0.46	0.09	0.69	0.15	1.19	0.29
Design Point 4	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.05

The peak volumes of runoff are as follows:

Pre-Development vs. Post-Development Volumes of Runoff

Design Point	<u>2 Year Storm</u> (3.35 Inches)		<u>10 Year Storm</u> (4.96 Inches)		<u>25 Year Storm</u> (6.21 Inches)		<u>100 Year Storm</u> (8.73 Inches)	
	Exist. (AC-FT)	Prop. (AC-FT)	Exist. (AC-FT)	Prop. (AC-FT)	Exist. (AC-FT)	Prop. (AC-FT)	Exist. (AC-FT)	Prop. (AC-FT)
Design Point 1	0.025	0.005	0.059	0.014	0.089	0.022	0.156	0.042
Design Point 2	0.002	0.002	0.006	0.004	0.010	0.007	0.020	0.012
Design Point 3	0.015	0.003	0.033	0.007	0.049	0.011	0.084	0.021
Design Point 4	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.003

A comparison of the pre-development and post-development peak rates and volumes of runoff indicates that the peak rates and volumes of runoff for the post-development condition will be equal or less than the pre-development condition for all storm events.

Standard 3 – Groundwater Recharge

Runoff will be infiltrated by subsurface infiltration tanks and the rain garden, which will meet the Stormwater Guidelines for infiltration:

- Infiltration structures will be a minimum of four (4) feet above seasonal high groundwater.
- Utilize the “Simple Dynamic” method for sizing the storage volume, which takes into account the fact that stormwater is exfiltrating from the infiltration basin at the same time that the basin is filling.
- Hydraulic conductivity is based on soil data from the Geotechnical Report and values developed from Rawls, Brakensiek and Saxton, 1982, Estimation of Soil Water Properties, *Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers*, vol.25, no. 5.
- Refer to Appendix D for infiltration and drawdown calculations and Appendix E for soil data.

Groundwater Recharge Volume

Stormwater System	Soil Type	Target Depth Factor (F) (in)	Total Impervious Area (sf)	Required Recharge Volume (cf) ¹	Provided Recharge Volume (cf) ²
	B	0.35	14,990	438	
1P					3,742
2P					14
				438	3,756

1. Required Recharge Volume = Target Depth Factor x Impervious Area / (d+Kt)
(Refer to supplemental calculations in Appendix D)
2. Provided Recharge Volume = Volume Provided from Bottom of System to invert of overflow pipe.

Per Standard 3, if stormwater runoff from less than 100% of the site's impervious cover is directed to the BMP intended to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume, then the storage capacity of the infiltration BMP needs to be increased so that the BMP can capture more of the runoff from the impervious surfaces located with the contributing drainage area. The impervious cover directed towards the stormwater management system 99.89%; therefore, a slight capture area adjustment was made. Refer to Appendix D for Capture Area Adjustment calculations.

The subsurface infiltration system and rain garden will provide both water quality treatment and recharge. Per Standard 4, Water Quality, the BMP must be sized to treat or hold the Target Volume, the larger of the Required Water Quality Volume and the Required Recharge Volume. The Required Water Quality Volume is based on the half-inch of runoff and the Required Recharge Volume is based on 0.35-inches (Soil Type B); 0.50 inches if greater than 0.35 inches, therefore the Target Volume is the Required Water Quality Volume of 624 cubic feet. Refer to Appendix D supplemental calculations. The proposed subsurface infiltration chambers and rain garden has been designed to

completely drain within 72 hours. The drawdown analysis is based on the required recharge volume exfiltrating at the Rawls Rates based on the soil textural analysis conducted at the proposed exfiltration location. Refer to Appendix D for calculations.

Standard 4 – Water Quality

The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan has been incorporated into the Post-Development Operation and Maintenance Plan. Refer to Appendix F for BMP Operation and Maintenance Plans.

The stormwater management system will be designed to be in full compliance with the Standards of the DEP Stormwater Management Policy. A treatment stream consisting of deep-sump catch basins with hooded outlets and proprietary devices will ensure that the 44% TSS removal (total suspended solids) is removed prior to discharge to the infiltration facilities and to ensure that 80% TSS removal is accomplished. The proposed treatment stream will renovate the stormwater and improve the water quality by promoting the settlement of sediments and pollutants before runoff is released into the existing drainage system

A treatment stream consisting of a peastone diaphragm with vegetated filter strip and rain garden allows for 90% TSS removal. Refer to Appendix D for TSS Removal Calculation Worksheets.

Water Quality Treatment Volume

Design Point	Required WQ Volume (cf)	Proposed WQ Volume (cf)	
Pond 1P	601	3,742	Subsurface Infil. (FD-4HC)
Pond 2P	23	14	Rain Garden (Peastone Diaphragm + Filter Strip)
	624	3,756	

Standard 5 – Land Use with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPL)

The proposed project does not include land uses with higher potential pollutant loads. Not Applicable.

Standard 6 – Critical Areas

The proposed project does not discharge to any critical areas. Not Applicable.

Standard 7 - Redevelopments and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the maximum extent practicable

The proposed project is not a redevelopment project. Not Applicable.

Standard 8 – Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control

The project will require a NPDES Construction General Permit but the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has not been submitted. The SWPPP will be submitted prior to any proposed construction. A Construction Phase BMP Operation and Maintenance Plan will be provided as a basis for the SWPPP during final design.

Standard 9 – Operation and Maintenance Plan

The Long-Term Operation and Maintenance Plan is provided in Appendix F.

Standard 10 – Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

No illicit discharges are anticipated on site. An Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement will be submitted prior to the discharge of any stormwater to the post-construction best management practices. Measures to prevent illicit discharges will be included in the Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan.





FIGURE - 1



U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
7.5 X 15 MINUTE SERIES



Assinippi Office Park
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101
Norwell, MA 02061
P: 781.792.3900
F: 781.792.0333
www.mckeng.com

USGS LOCUS MAP

320 CONCORD ST.
(ASSESSORS MAP 57, PARCELS 70)
ROCKLAND, MASSACHUSETTS





FIGURE - 2



COMMUNITY PANEL NO: 25023C0182K
EFFECTIVE DATE: JULY 6, 2021

© MCKENZIE ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.


DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2021



Assinippi Office Park
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101
Norwell, MA 02061
P: 781.792.3900
F: 781.792.0333
www.mckeng.com

FEMA FLOOD MAP


320 CONCORD ST.
(ASSESSORS MAP 57, PARCELS 70)
ROCKLAND, MASSACHUSETTS



SOIL KEY

SOIL CLASSIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP
260A	SUDBURY FINE SANDY LOAM, 0 TO 3 PERCENT SLOPES	A/D

FIGURE - 3



NRCS SOIL SURVEY
PLYMOUTH COUNTY



Assinippi Office Park
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101
Norwell, MA 02061
P: 781.792.3900
F: 781.792.0333
www.mckeng.com

NRCS SOILS MAP

320 CONCORD ST.
(ASSESSORS MAP 57, PARCELS 70)
ROCKLAND, MASSACHUSETTS





FIGURE - 4



Google Earth Imagery
Copyright 2017

© MCKENZIE ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.

DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2021



Assinippi Office Park
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101
Norwell, MA 02061
P: 781.792.3900
F: 781.792.0333
www.mckeng.com

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH

320 CONCORD ST.
(ASSESSORS MAP 57, PARCELS 70)
ROCKLAND, MASSACHUSETTS





FIGURE - 5



Google Earth Imagery
Copyright 2017

© MCKENZIE ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.

DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2021




Assinippi Office Park
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101
Norwell, MA 02061
P: 781.792.3900
F: 781.792.0333
www.mckeng.com

**NATIONAL HERITAGE AND
ENDANGERED SPECIES MAP**
320 CONCORD ST.
(ASSESSORS MAP 57, PARCELS 70)
ROCKLAND, MASSACHUSETTS

A P P E N D I X A

Pre-Development Condition



LOCUS MAP
Not to Scale

SOIL KEY

SOIL CLASSIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP
260A	SUDBURY FINE SANDY LOAM, 0 TO 3 PERCENT SLOPES	A/D


LEGEND

	LIMIT OF WATERSHED
	TIME OF CONCENTRATION FLOW PATH
	LIMIT OF NRCS SOIL MAPPING

SURVEY NOTES:

- LOCUS IS SHOWN AS PARCEL NUMBER 57-70 ON THE TOWN OF ROCKLAND ASSESSORS MAPS.
- DEED TO LOCUS IS RECORDED IN THE PLYMOUTH COUNTY REGISTRY OF DEEDS AT BOOK 54287, PAGE 47.
- THIS SURVEY WAS MADE ON THE GROUND IN SEPTEMBER OF 2021 BY MCKENZIE ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.
- ELEVATIONS SHOWN ARE REFERENCED TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM (NAVD) OF 1988
- WETLAND RESOURCE AREAS WERE NOT ENCOUNTERED DURING THE FIELD SURVEY.
- LOCUS IS ZONED R1
- MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIREMENTS:
FRONT YARD 25'
SIDE YARD 15'
REAR YARD 50'
- LOCUS IS SITUATED IN ZONE X AS SHOWN ON F.I.R.M. No 25023C0182K, EFFECTIVE JULY 6, 2021.
- LOCUS IS NOT LOCATED IN A DEP ZONE 2 OR TOWN OF ROCKLAND AQUIFER PROTECTION DISTRICT.
- UTILITY INFORMATION FROM ABOVE GROUND OBSERVED EVIDENCE IN CONJUNCTION WITH DIG SAFE MARKINGS AND RECORD PLANS. THE LAND SURVEYOR MAKES NO GUARANTEES THAT THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN HEREON COMPRISE ALL SUCH UTILITIES IN THE AREA, EITHER IN SERVICE OR ABANDONED. THE LAND SURVEYOR FURTHER DOES NOT WARRANT THAT THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN ARE IN THE EXACT LOCATION INDICATED. BEFORE CONSTRUCTION CALL DIG SAFE SYSTEMS, INC. AT 1-888-344-7233.
- PLAN REFERENCES:

PB	PG
44	80



ABBREVIATIONS	
FTE	FIRST FLOOR ELEVATION
BIT CONC.	BITUMINOUS CONCRETE PAVEMENT
CCB	CAPE COD BERM
EP	EDGE OF PAVEMENT
BC	BITUMINOUS CONCRETE CURB
(AM)	AS MEASURED
RET. WALL	RETAINING WALL
CONC.	CONCRETE
RCP	REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE
VCC	VERTICAL GRANITE CURB
ETW	EDGE OF TRAVEL WAY
MTL	METAL BERM
VCC	VERTICAL CONCRETE CURB
CMP	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE
LSA	LANDSCAPED AREA

LEGEND	
SURVEY SYMBOLS	
	REBAR
	ANGLE IRON
	CONCRETE BOUND WITH DRILL HOLE
	STONE BOUND
	STONE BOUND
UTILITY SYMBOLS	
	CHIMNEY
	ELECTRIC HAND HOLE
	GUY POLE
	GUY WIRE
	HVAC UNIT
	BUILDING LIGHT W/MAST
	BUILDING LIGHT
	TRANSFORMER
	WATER GATE
	EXHAUST VENT
	AIR VENT
	DRAINAGE SUMP
	ELECTRIC MANHOLE
	SEWER MANHOLE
	DRAIN MANHOLE
	TELEPHONE MANHOLE
	DRAINAGE CATCH BASIN
	DOOR WAY THRESHOLD
	HYDRANT
	POST INDICATOR VALVE
	UTILITY POLE
	YARD LIGHT
	RIP RAP
	BOLLARD
	SIGN
	FIRE ALARM
	DECIDUOUS TREE
	CONIFEROUS TREE
LINE DESIGNATORS	
	WATER MAIN
	HANDRAIL
	JERSEY BARRIER
	GUARD RAIL
	OVERHEAD WIRES
	GAS LINE
	WATER SERVICE
	UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC
	STORM DRAIN LINE
	SANITARY SEWER LINE
	DRAINAGE SWALE
	CHAIN LINK FENCE

BY	APP	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV



SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS (ASSESSOR'S MAP 57, PARCEL 70) 320 CONCORD STREET ROCKLAND, MASSACHUSETTS

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER:

OWNERS/APPLICANT:
WALL STREET DEVELOPMENT
CORP.
2 WARTHIN CIRCLE
NORWOOD, MASSACHUSETTS 02062

DRAWN BY: ESS
DESIGNED BY: ESS
CHECKED BY: RTLS
APPROVED BY: R.J.H.
DATE: SEPTEMBER 24, 2021
SCALE: 1"=20'
PROJECT NO.: 221-187
DWG. TITLE:

PRE-DEV.
WATERSHED
PLAN


DWG. NO.: WS-1



NORTHWEST SITE




NORTHWEST
PROPERTY LINE



NORTHEAST SITE




NORTHEAST
PROPERTY LINE



SOUTHEAST SITE





SOUTHEAST
PROPERTY LINE



DRIVEWAY



CONCORD ST.



Routing Diagram for 221-187_PRE

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc., Printed 11/22/2021
HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 00452 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

221-187_PRE

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 11/22/2021

HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 00452 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 2

Rainfall Events Listing

Event#	Event Name	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration (hours)	B/B	Depth (inches)	AMC
1	2-Year	Type III 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	3.35	2
2	10-Year	Type III 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	4.96	2
3	25-Year	Type III 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	6.21	2
4	100-Year	Type III 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	8.73	2

Area Listing (all nodes)

Area (acres)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
0.426	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (1S, 2S, 3S, 4S)
0.062	98	Paved parking, HSG B (1S, 3S, 4S)
0.068	98	Roofs, HSG B (1S, 3S)
0.109	55	Woods, Good, HSG B (1S, 2S, 3S)
0.665	67	TOTAL AREA

221-187_PRE

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 11/22/2021

HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 00452 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 4

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area (acres)	Soil Group	Subcatchment Numbers
0.000	HSG A	
0.665	HSG B	1S, 2S, 3S, 4S
0.000	HSG C	
0.000	HSG D	
0.000	Other	
0.665		TOTAL AREA

221-187_PRE

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 11/22/2021

HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 00452 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 5

Ground Covers (all nodes)

HSG-A (acres)	HSG-B (acres)	HSG-C (acres)	HSG-D (acres)	Other (acres)	Total (acres)	Ground Cover	Subcatchment Numbers
0.000	0.426	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.426	>75% Grass cover, Good	1S, 2S, 3S, 4S
0.000	0.062	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.062	Paved parking	1S, 3S, 4S
0.000	0.068	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.068	Roofs	1S, 3S
0.000	0.109	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.109	Woods, Good	1S, 2S, 3S
0.000	0.665	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.665	TOTAL AREA	

221-187_PRE*Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"*

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 11/22/2021

HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 00452 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 6

Time span=5.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 861 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1S: NORTHWEST SITE Runoff Area=17,248 sf 18.58% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.77"
Tc=5.0 min CN=67 Runoff=0.31 cfs 0.025 af

Subcatchment2S: NORTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=2,792 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.40"
Tc=5.0 min CN=58 Runoff=0.02 cfs 0.002 af

Subcatchment3S: SOUTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=8,660 sf 25.91% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.92"
Tc=5.0 min CN=70 Runoff=0.20 cfs 0.015 af

Subcatchment4S: DRIVEWAY Runoff Area=250 sf 80.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.40"
Tc=5.0 min CN=91 Runoff=0.02 cfs 0.001 af

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.31 cfs 0.025 af
Outflow=0.31 cfs 0.025 af

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.02 cfs 0.002 af
Outflow=0.02 cfs 0.002 af

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.20 cfs 0.015 af
Outflow=0.20 cfs 0.015 af

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST. Inflow=0.02 cfs 0.001 af
Outflow=0.02 cfs 0.001 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.665 ac Runoff Volume = 0.044 af Average Runoff Depth = 0.79"
80.49% Pervious = 0.535 ac 19.51% Impervious = 0.130 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.31 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.025 af, Depth= 0.77"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
2,891	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1,517	98	Roofs, HSG B
1,688	98	Paved parking, HSG B
11,152	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
17,248	67	Weighted Average
14,043		81.42% Pervious Area
3,205		18.58% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.02 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af, Depth= 0.40"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,504	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1,288	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
2,792	58	Weighted Average
2,792		100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.20 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.015 af, Depth= 0.92"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
347	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1,443	98	Roofs, HSG B
801	98	Paved parking, HSG B
6,069	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
8,660	70	Weighted Average
6,416		74.09% Pervious Area
2,244		25.91% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 4S: DRIVEWAY[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.02 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.001 af, Depth= 2.40"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
200	98	Paved parking, HSG B
50	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
250	91	Weighted Average
50		20.00% Pervious Area
200		80.00% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 4S: DRIVEWAY

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.396 ac, 18.58% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.77" for 2-Year event
Inflow = 0.31 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.025 af
Outflow = 0.31 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.025 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph




Summary for Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.064 ac, 0.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.40" for 2-Year event
Inflow = 0.02 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af
Outflow = 0.02 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Summary for Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.199 ac, 25.91% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.92" for 2-Year event
Inflow = 0.20 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.015 af
Outflow = 0.20 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.015 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.006 ac, 80.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.40" for 2-Year event
Inflow = 0.02 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.001 af
Outflow = 0.02 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.001 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.

Hydrograph



221-187_PRE*Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"*

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 11/22/2021

HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 00452 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 15

Time span=5.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 861 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1S: NORTHWEST SITE Runoff Area=17,248 sf 18.58% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.78"
Tc=5.0 min CN=67 Runoff=0.80 cfs 0.059 af

Subcatchment2S: NORTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=2,792 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.15"
Tc=5.0 min CN=58 Runoff=0.08 cfs 0.006 af

Subcatchment3S: SOUTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=8,660 sf 25.91% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.01"
Tc=5.0 min CN=70 Runoff=0.46 cfs 0.033 af

Subcatchment4S: DRIVEWAY Runoff Area=250 sf 80.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.94"
Tc=5.0 min CN=91 Runoff=0.03 cfs 0.002 af

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.80 cfs 0.059 af
Outflow=0.80 cfs 0.059 af

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.08 cfs 0.006 af
Outflow=0.08 cfs 0.006 af

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.46 cfs 0.033 af
Outflow=0.46 cfs 0.033 af

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST. Inflow=0.03 cfs 0.002 af
Outflow=0.03 cfs 0.002 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.665 ac Runoff Volume = 0.100 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.80"
80.49% Pervious = 0.535 ac 19.51% Impervious = 0.130 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.80 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.059 af, Depth= 1.78"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
2,891	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1,517	98	Roofs, HSG B
1,688	98	Paved parking, HSG B
11,152	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
17,248	67	Weighted Average
14,043		81.42% Pervious Area
3,205		18.58% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.08 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.006 af, Depth= 1.15"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,504	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1,288	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
2,792	58	Weighted Average
2,792		100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.46 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.033 af, Depth= 2.01"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
347	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1,443	98	Roofs, HSG B
801	98	Paved parking, HSG B
6,069	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
8,660	70	Weighted Average
6,416		74.09% Pervious Area
2,244		25.91% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 4S: DRIVEWAY[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.03 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af, Depth> 3.94"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
200	98	Paved parking, HSG B
50	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
250	91	Weighted Average
50		20.00% Pervious Area
200		80.00% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 4S: DRIVEWAY

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.396 ac, 18.58% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.78" for 10-Year event
Inflow = 0.80 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.059 af
Outflow = 0.80 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.059 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.064 ac, 0.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.15" for 10-Year event
Inflow = 0.08 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.006 af
Outflow = 0.08 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.006 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.199 ac, 25.91% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.01" for 10-Year event
Inflow = 0.46 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.033 af
Outflow = 0.46 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.033 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.006 ac, 80.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 3.94" for 10-Year event
Inflow = 0.03 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af
Outflow = 0.03 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.

Hydrograph



221-187_PRE*Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"*

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 11/22/2021

HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 00452 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 24

Time span=5.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 861 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1S: NORTHWEST SITE Runoff Area=17,248 sf 18.58% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.69"
Tc=5.0 min CN=67 Runoff=1.24 cfs 0.089 af

Subcatchment2S: NORTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=2,792 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.89"
Tc=5.0 min CN=58 Runoff=0.13 cfs 0.010 af

Subcatchment3S: SOUTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=8,660 sf 25.91% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.97"
Tc=5.0 min CN=70 Runoff=0.69 cfs 0.049 af

Subcatchment4S: DRIVEWAY Runoff Area=250 sf 80.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>5.14"
Tc=5.0 min CN=91 Runoff=0.03 cfs 0.002 af

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=1.24 cfs 0.089 af
Outflow=1.24 cfs 0.089 af

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.13 cfs 0.010 af
Outflow=0.13 cfs 0.010 af

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.69 cfs 0.049 af
Outflow=0.69 cfs 0.049 af

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST. Inflow=0.03 cfs 0.002 af
Outflow=0.03 cfs 0.002 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.665 ac Runoff Volume = 0.151 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.72"
80.49% Pervious = 0.535 ac 19.51% Impervious = 0.130 ac


Summary for Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 1.24 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.089 af, Depth= 2.69"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
2,891	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1,517	98	Roofs, HSG B
1,688	98	Paved parking, HSG B
11,152	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
17,248	67	Weighted Average
14,043		81.42% Pervious Area
3,205		18.58% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.13 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.010 af, Depth= 1.89"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,504	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1,288	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
2,792	58	Weighted Average
2,792		100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.69 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.049 af, Depth= 2.97"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
347	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1,443	98	Roofs, HSG B
801	98	Paved parking, HSG B
6,069	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
8,660	70	Weighted Average
6,416		74.09% Pervious Area
2,244		25.91% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 4S: DRIVEWAY[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.03 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af, Depth> 5.14"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
200	98	Paved parking, HSG B
50	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
250	91	Weighted Average
50		20.00% Pervious Area
200		80.00% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 4S: DRIVEWAY

Hydrograph




Summary for Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.396 ac, 18.58% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.69" for 25-Year event
Inflow = 1.24 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.089 af
Outflow = 1.24 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.089 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

Summary for Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.064 ac, 0.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.89" for 25-Year event
Inflow = 0.13 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.010 af
Outflow = 0.13 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.010 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.199 ac, 25.91% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.97" for 25-Year event
Inflow = 0.69 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.049 af
Outflow = 0.69 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.049 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.006 ac, 80.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 5.14" for 25-Year event
Inflow = 0.03 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af
Outflow = 0.03 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.

Hydrograph



221-187_PRE*Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"*

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 11/22/2021

HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 00452 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 33

Time span=5.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 861 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1S: NORTHWEST SITE Runoff Area=17,248 sf 18.58% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.73"
Tc=5.0 min CN=67 Runoff=2.20 cfs 0.156 af

Subcatchment2S: NORTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=2,792 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.65"
Tc=5.0 min CN=58 Runoff=0.27 cfs 0.020 af

Subcatchment3S: SOUTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=8,660 sf 25.91% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.10"
Tc=5.0 min CN=70 Runoff=1.19 cfs 0.084 af

Subcatchment4S: DRIVEWAY Runoff Area=250 sf 80.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>7.58"
Tc=5.0 min CN=91 Runoff=0.05 cfs 0.004 af

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=2.20 cfs 0.156 af
Outflow=2.20 cfs 0.156 af

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.27 cfs 0.020 af
Outflow=0.27 cfs 0.020 af

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=1.19 cfs 0.084 af
Outflow=1.19 cfs 0.084 af

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST. Inflow=0.05 cfs 0.004 af
Outflow=0.05 cfs 0.004 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.665 ac Runoff Volume = 0.264 af Average Runoff Depth = 4.76"
80.49% Pervious = 0.535 ac 19.51% Impervious = 0.130 ac


Summary for Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 2.20 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.156 af, Depth= 4.73"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
2,891	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1,517	98	Roofs, HSG B
1,688	98	Paved parking, HSG B
11,152	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
17,248	67	Weighted Average
14,043		81.42% Pervious Area
3,205		18.58% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.27 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.020 af, Depth= 3.65"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,504	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1,288	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
2,792	58	Weighted Average
2,792		100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 1.19 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.084 af, Depth= 5.10"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
347	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1,443	98	Roofs, HSG B
801	98	Paved parking, HSG B
6,069	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
8,660	70	Weighted Average
6,416		74.09% Pervious Area
2,244		25.91% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 4S: DRIVEWAY[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.05 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.004 af, Depth> 7.58"


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
200	98	Paved parking, HSG B
50	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
250	91	Weighted Average
50		20.00% Pervious Area
200		80.00% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 4S: DRIVEWAY

Hydrograph




Summary for Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.396 ac, 18.58% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 4.73" for 100-Year event
Inflow = 2.20 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.156 af
Outflow = 2.20 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.156 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs


Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

Summary for Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.064 ac, 0.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 3.65" for 100-Year event
Inflow = 0.27 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.020 af
Outflow = 0.27 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.020 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs


Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Summary for Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.199 ac, 25.91% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 5.10" for 100-Year event
Inflow = 1.19 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.084 af
Outflow = 1.19 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.084 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Summary for Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.006 ac, 80.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 7.58" for 100-Year event
Inflow = 0.05 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.004 af
Outflow = 0.05 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.004 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs


Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.

Hydrograph



A P P E N D I X B

Post-Development Condition




LOCUS MAP
Not to Scale

SOIL KEY

SOIL CLASSIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP
260A	SUDBURY FINE SANDY LOAM, 0 TO 3 PERCENT SLOPES	A/D

LEGEND

- LIMIT OF WATERSHED
- TIME OF CONCENTRATION FLOW PATH
- LIMIT OF NRCS SOIL MAPPING



ABBREVIATIONS	
FTE	FIRST FLOOR ELEVATION
BIT CONC.	BITUMINOUS CONCRETE PAVEMENT
CCB	CAPE COD BERM
EP	EDGE OF PAVEMENT
BC	BITUMINOUS CONCRETE CURB
(AM)	AS MEASURED
RET. WALL	RETAINING WALL
CONC.	CONCRETE
RCP	REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE
VGC	VERTICAL GRANITE CURB
ETW	EDGE OF TRAVEL WAY
MTL	METAL BERM
VCC	VERTICAL CONCRETE CURB
CMP	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE
LSA	LANDSCAPED AREA

LEGEND

SURVEY SYMBOLS

- REBAR
- ANGLE IRON
- CONCRETE BOUND WITH DRILL HOLE
- STONE BOUND
- STONE BOUND

UTILITY SYMBOLS

- CHIMNEY
- ELECTRIC HAND HOLE
- GUY POLE
- GUY WIRE
- HVAC UNIT
- BUILDING LIGHT W/MAST
- BUILDING LIGHT TRANSFORMER
- WATER GATE
- EXHAUST VENT
- AIR VENT
- DRAINAGE SUMP
- ELECTRIC MANHOLE
- SEWER MANHOLE
- DRAIN MANHOLE
- TELEPHONE MANHOLE
- DRAINAGE CATCH BASIN
- DOOR WAY THRESHOLD
- HYDRANT
- POST INDICATOR VALVE
- UTILITY POLE
- YARD LIGHT

LINE DESIGNATORS

- WATER MAIN
- HANDRAIL
- JERSEY BARRIER
- GUARD RAIL
- OVERHEAD WIRES
- GAS LINE
- WATER SERVICE
- UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC
- STORM DRAIN LINE
- SANITARY SEWER LINE
- DRAINAGE SWALE
- CHAIN LINK FENCE

REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY	APP
1	1/3/22	PEER REVIEW LETTER	ESS	BCM



SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS (ASSESSOR'S MAP 57, PARCEL 70) 320 CONCORD STREET ROCKLAND, MASSACHUSETTS

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER:

OWNERS/APPLICANT:
WALL STREET DEVELOPMENT
CORP.
2 WARTHIN CIRCLE
NORWOOD, MASSACHUSETTS 02062

PERMIT PLAN SET




DRAWN BY:	ESS
DESIGNED BY:	ESS
CHECKED BY:	BCM
APPROVED BY:	BCM
DATE:	OCTOBER 7, 2021
SCALE:	1"=20'
PROJECT NO.:	221-187
DWG. TITLE:	POST-DEV. WATERSHED PLAN

DWG. NO.:

WS-2

© MCKENZIE ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.

M:\MEG\2021 PROJECTS\221-187 WALL ST. DEV. CORP. - 320 CONCORD ST., ROCKLAND\DWGS\221-187 MAINS_FIRE-REV.DWG



Routing Diagram for 221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc., Printed 1/11/2022
HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 2

Rainfall Events Listing

Event#	Event Name	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration (hours)	B/B	Depth (inches)	AMC
1	2-Year	Type III 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	3.35	2
2	10-Year	Type III 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	4.96	2
3	25-Year	Type III 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	6.21	2
4	100-Year	Type III 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	8.73	2

221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 3

Area Listing (all nodes)

Area (acres)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
0.320	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 5S, 6S)
0.215	98	Paved parking, HSG B (5S, 6S)
0.128	98	Roofs, HSG B (5S)
0.000	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG B (2S, 3S)
0.664	80	TOTAL AREA

221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 4

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area (acres)	Soil Group	Subcatchment Numbers
0.000	HSG A	
0.664	HSG B	1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 5S, 6S
0.000	HSG C	
0.000	HSG D	
0.000	Other	
0.664		TOTAL AREA

221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 5

Ground Covers (all nodes)

HSG-A (acres)	HSG-B (acres)	HSG-C (acres)	HSG-D (acres)	Other (acres)	Total (acres)	Ground Cover	Subcatchment Numbers
0.000	0.320	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.320	>75% Grass cover, Good	1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 5S, 6S
0.000	0.215	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.215	Paved parking	5S, 6S
0.000	0.128	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.128	Roofs	5S
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	Unconnected pavement	2S, 3S
0.000	0.664	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.664	TOTAL AREA	

221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 6

Pipe Listing (all nodes)

Line#	Node Number	In-Invert (feet)	Out-Invert (feet)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	n	Width (inches)	Diam/Height (inches)	Inside-Fill (inches)
1	2P	89.40	88.15	88.0	0.0142	0.013	0.0	12.0	0.0

221-187_POST2

Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 7

Time span=5.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 861 points
 Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
 Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1S: NORTHWEST SITE Runoff Area=5,420 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.51"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.05 cfs 0.005 af

Subcatchment2S: NORTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=1,595 sf 0.56% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.51"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.02 cfs 0.002 af

Subcatchment3S: SOUTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=2,732 sf 0.26% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.51"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.03 cfs 0.003 af

Subcatchment4S: SOUTHWEST SITE Runoff Area=435 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.51"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.00 cfs 0.000 af

Subcatchment5S: CENTER SITE Runoff Area=17,129 sf 84.25% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.49"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=92 Runoff=1.13 cfs 0.082 af

Subcatchment6S: FRONT SITE Runoff Area=1,622 sf 33.48% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.08"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=73 Runoff=0.04 cfs 0.003 af

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.05 cfs 0.005 af
 Outflow=0.05 cfs 0.005 af

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.02 cfs 0.002 af
 Outflow=0.02 cfs 0.002 af

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.03 cfs 0.003 af
 Outflow=0.03 cfs 0.003 af

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST. Inflow=0.00 cfs 0.000 af
 Outflow=0.00 cfs 0.000 af

Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM Peak Elev=88.02' Storage=378 cf Inflow=1.13 cfs 0.082 af
 Outflow=0.49 cfs 0.082 af

Pond 2P: RAINGARDEN Peak Elev=89.47' Storage=24 cf Inflow=0.04 cfs 0.003 af
 Discarded=0.01 cfs 0.003 af Primary=0.02 cfs 0.001 af Outflow=0.03 cfs 0.003 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.664 ac Runoff Volume = 0.095 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.71"
48.19% Pervious = 0.320 ac 51.81% Impervious = 0.344 ac

221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 8

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE

[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.05 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.005 af, Depth= 0.51"
Routed to Reach DP-1 : NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
5,420	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
5,420		100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 9

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.02 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af, Depth= 0.51"
 Routed to Reach DP-2 : NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,586	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
9	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG B
1,595	61	Weighted Average
1,586		99.44% Pervious Area
9		0.56% Impervious Area
9		100.00% Unconnected

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 10

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.03 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.003 af, Depth= 0.51"
 Routed to Reach DP-3 : SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
2,725	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
7	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG B
2,732	61	Weighted Average
2,725		99.74% Pervious Area
7		0.26% Impervious Area
7		100.00% Unconnected

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 4S: SOUTHWEST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.00 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af, Depth= 0.51"
 Routed to Reach DP-4 : CONCORD ST.


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
435	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
435		100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 4S: SOUTHWEST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 12

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: CENTER SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 1.13 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.082 af, Depth> 2.49"
 Routed to Pond 1P : SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
2,698	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
8,835	98	Paved parking, HSG B
5,596	98	Roofs, HSG B
17,129	92	Weighted Average
2,698		15.75% Pervious Area
14,431		84.25% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 5S: CENTER SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 6S: FRONT SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.04 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.003 af, Depth= 1.08"
 Routed to Pond 2P : RAINGARDEN


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,079	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
543	98	Paved parking, HSG B
1,622	73	Weighted Average
1,079		66.52% Pervious Area
543		33.48% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 6S: FRONT SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.124 ac, 0.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.51" for 2-Year event
Inflow = 0.05 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.005 af
Outflow = 0.05 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.005 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.037 ac, 0.56% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.51" for 2-Year event
Inflow = 0.02 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af
Outflow = 0.02 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.063 ac, 0.26% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.51" for 2-Year event
Inflow = 0.03 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.003 af
Outflow = 0.03 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.003 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.010 ac, 0.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.51" for 2-Year event
Inflow = 0.00 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af
Outflow = 0.00 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.

Hydrograph



Summary for Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM

Inflow Area = 0.430 ac, 79.86% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 2.29" for 2-Year event
 Inflow = 1.13 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.082 af
 Outflow = 0.49 cfs @ 11.95 hrs, Volume= 0.082 af, Atten= 57%, Lag= 0.0 min
 Discarded = 0.49 cfs @ 11.95 hrs, Volume= 0.082 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Peak Elev= 88.02' @ 12.27 hrs Surf.Area= 2,552 sf Storage= 378 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 3.7 min calculated for 0.082 af (100% of inflow)
 Center-of-Mass det. time= 3.6 min (798.5 - 794.8)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	87.65'	1,829 cf	24.25'W x 105.25'L x 2.54'H Field A 6,487 cf Overall - 1,915 cf Embedded = 4,573 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	88.15'	1,915 cf	Cultec R-150XLHD x 70 Inside #1 Effective Size= 29.8"W x 18.0"H => 2.65 sf x 10.25'L = 27.2 cf Overall Size= 33.0"W x 18.5"H x 11.00'L with 0.75' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +0.75' x 2.65 sf x 7 rows
		3,744 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	87.65'	8.270 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.49 cfs @ 11.95 hrs HW=87.68' (Free Discharge)
 ↑**1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.49 cfs)

Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM - Chamber Wizard Field A**Chamber Model = Cultec R-150XLHD (Cultec Recharger®150XLHD)**

Effective Size= 29.8"W x 18.0"H => 2.65 sf x 10.25'L = 27.2 cf

Overall Size= 33.0"W x 18.5"H x 11.00'L with 0.75' Overlap

Row Length Adjustment= +0.75' x 2.65 sf x 7 rows

33.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 39.0" C-C Row Spacing

10 Chambers/Row x 10.25' Long +0.75' Row Adjustment = 103.25' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 105.25' Base Length

7 Rows x 33.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 6 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 24.25' Base Width

6.0" Stone Base + 18.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Stone Cover = 2.54' Field Height

70 Chambers x 27.2 cf +0.75' Row Adjustment x 2.65 sf x 7 Rows = 1,914.6 cf Chamber Storage

6,487.1 cf Field - 1,914.6 cf Chambers = 4,572.6 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 1,829.0 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 3,743.6 cf = 0.086 af


Overall Storage Efficiency = 57.7%


Overall System Size = 105.25' x 24.25' x 2.54'

70 Chambers

240.3 cy Field

169.4 cy Stone



Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM

221-187_POST2

Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.35"

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 21

Summary for Pond 2P: RAINGARDEN

Inflow Area = 0.037 ac, 33.48% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.08" for 2-Year event
 Inflow = 0.04 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.003 af
 Outflow = 0.03 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= 0.003 af, Atten= 37%, Lag= 7.3 min
 Discarded = 0.01 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= 0.003 af
 Primary = 0.02 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= 0.001 af
 Routed to Pond 1P : SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Peak Elev= 89.47' @ 12.21 hrs Surf.Area= 152 sf Storage= 24 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 18.5 min calculated for 0.003 af (100% of inflow)
 Center-of-Mass det. time= 18.5 min (880.0 - 861.5)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1	89.20'	183 cf	Custom Stage Data (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)

Elevation (feet)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)
89.20	25	0	0
89.60	210	47	47
90.00	470	136	183


Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	89.20'	2.410 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area
#2	Primary	89.40'	12.0" Round Culvert L= 88.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 89.40' / 88.15' S= 0.0142 ' / ' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.01 cfs @ 12.21 hrs HW=89.47' (Free Discharge)
 ↑ **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.01 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.02 cfs @ 12.21 hrs HW=89.47' (Free Discharge)
 ↑ **2=Culvert** (Inlet Controls 0.02 cfs @ 0.73 fps)

Pond 2P: RAINGARDEN

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 23

Time span=5.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 861 points
 Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
 Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1S: NORTHWEST SITE Runoff Area=5,420 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.35"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.18 cfs 0.014 af

Subcatchment2S: NORTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=1,595 sf 0.56% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.35"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.05 cfs 0.004 af

Subcatchment3S: SOUTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=2,732 sf 0.26% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.35"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.09 cfs 0.007 af

Subcatchment4S: SOUTHWEST SITE Runoff Area=435 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.35"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.01 cfs 0.001 af

Subcatchment5S: CENTER SITE Runoff Area=17,129 sf 84.25% Impervious Runoff Depth>4.04"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=92 Runoff=1.78 cfs 0.132 af

Subcatchment6S: FRONT SITE Runoff Area=1,622 sf 33.48% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.25"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=73 Runoff=0.10 cfs 0.007 af

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.18 cfs 0.014 af
 Outflow=0.18 cfs 0.014 af

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.05 cfs 0.004 af
 Outflow=0.05 cfs 0.004 af

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.09 cfs 0.007 af
 Outflow=0.09 cfs 0.007 af

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST. Inflow=0.01 cfs 0.001 af
 Outflow=0.01 cfs 0.001 af

Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM Peak Elev=88.46' Storage=1,139 cf Inflow=1.84 cfs 0.135 af
 Outflow=0.49 cfs 0.135 af

Pond 2P: RAINGARDEN Peak Elev=89.55' Storage=36 cf Inflow=0.10 cfs 0.007 af
 Discarded=0.01 cfs 0.004 af Primary=0.07 cfs 0.003 af Outflow=0.08 cfs 0.007 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.664 ac Runoff Volume = 0.166 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.99"
48.19% Pervious = 0.320 ac 51.81% Impervious = 0.344 ac

221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 24

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.18 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.014 af, Depth= 1.35"
 Routed to Reach DP-1 : NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
5,420	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
5,420		100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 25

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.05 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.004 af, Depth= 1.35"
 Routed to Reach DP-2 : NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,586	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
9	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG B
1,595	61	Weighted Average
1,586		99.44% Pervious Area
9		0.56% Impervious Area
9		100.00% Unconnected

T_c (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 26

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.09 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.007 af, Depth= 1.35"
 Routed to Reach DP-3 : SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
2,725	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
7	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG B
2,732	61	Weighted Average
2,725		99.74% Pervious Area
7		0.26% Impervious Area
7		100.00% Unconnected

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 27

Summary for Subcatchment 4S: SOUTHWEST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.01 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.001 af, Depth= 1.35"
Routed to Reach DP-4 : CONCORD ST.


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
435	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
435		100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 4S: SOUTHWEST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 28

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: CENTER SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 1.78 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.132 af, Depth> 4.04"
 Routed to Pond 1P : SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
2,698	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
8,835	98	Paved parking, HSG B
5,596	98	Roofs, HSG B
17,129	92	Weighted Average
2,698		15.75% Pervious Area
14,431		84.25% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 5S: CENTER SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 6S: FRONT SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.10 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.007 af, Depth= 2.25"
 Routed to Pond 2P : RAINGARDEN


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,079	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
543	98	Paved parking, HSG B
1,622	73	Weighted Average
1,079		66.52% Pervious Area
543		33.48% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 6S: FRONT SITE

Hydrograph




Summary for Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.124 ac, 0.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.35" for 10-Year event
Inflow = 0.18 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.014 af
Outflow = 0.18 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.014 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

Summary for Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.037 ac, 0.56% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.35" for 10-Year event
Inflow = 0.05 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.004 af
Outflow = 0.05 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.004 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.063 ac, 0.26% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.35" for 10-Year event
Inflow = 0.09 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.007 af
Outflow = 0.09 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.007 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.010 ac, 0.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.35" for 10-Year event
Inflow = 0.01 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.001 af
Outflow = 0.01 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.001 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 34

Summary for Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM

[82] Warning: Early inflow requires earlier time span

[79] Warning: Submerged Pond 2P Primary device # 2 OUTLET by 0.31'

Inflow Area = 0.430 ac, 79.86% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 3.76" for 10-Year event
 Inflow = 1.84 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.135 af
 Outflow = 0.49 cfs @ 11.80 hrs, Volume= 0.135 af, Atten= 73%, Lag= 0.0 min
 Discarded = 0.49 cfs @ 11.80 hrs, Volume= 0.135 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Peak Elev= 88.46' @ 12.43 hrs Surf.Area= 2,552 sf Storage= 1,139 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 11.5 min calculated for 0.135 af (100% of inflow)
 Center-of-Mass det. time= 11.5 min (794.0 - 782.5)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	87.65'	1,829 cf	24.25'W x 105.25'L x 2.54'H Field A 6,487 cf Overall - 1,915 cf Embedded = 4,573 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	88.15'	1,915 cf	Cultec R-150XLHD x 70 Inside #1 Effective Size= 29.8"W x 18.0"H => 2.65 sf x 10.25'L = 27.2 cf Overall Size= 33.0"W x 18.5"H x 11.00'L with 0.75' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +0.75' x 2.65 sf x 7 rows
		3,744 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	87.65'	8.270 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.49 cfs @ 11.80 hrs HW=87.68' (Free Discharge)↑**1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.49 cfs)

Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM - Chamber Wizard Field A

Chamber Model = Cultec R-150XLHD (Cultec Recharger®150XLHD)

Effective Size= 29.8"W x 18.0"H => 2.65 sf x 10.25'L = 27.2 cf

Overall Size= 33.0"W x 18.5"H x 11.00'L with 0.75' Overlap

Row Length Adjustment= +0.75' x 2.65 sf x 7 rows

33.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 39.0" C-C Row Spacing

10 Chambers/Row x 10.25' Long +0.75' Row Adjustment = 103.25' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 105.25' Base Length

7 Rows x 33.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 6 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 24.25' Base Width

6.0" Stone Base + 18.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Stone Cover = 2.54' Field Height

70 Chambers x 27.2 cf +0.75' Row Adjustment x 2.65 sf x 7 Rows = 1,914.6 cf Chamber Storage

6,487.1 cf Field - 1,914.6 cf Chambers = 4,572.6 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 1,829.0 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 3,743.6 cf = 0.086 af


Overall Storage Efficiency = 57.7%


Overall System Size = 105.25' x 24.25' x 2.54'

70 Chambers

240.3 cy Field

169.4 cy Stone



Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM

221-187_POST2

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.96"

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 37

Summary for Pond 2P: RAINGARDEN

Inflow Area = 0.037 ac, 33.48% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.25" for 10-Year event
 Inflow = 0.10 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.007 af
 Outflow = 0.08 cfs @ 12.13 hrs, Volume= 0.007 af, Atten= 14%, Lag= 3.1 min
 Discarded = 0.01 cfs @ 12.13 hrs, Volume= 0.004 af
 Primary = 0.07 cfs @ 12.13 hrs, Volume= 0.003 af
 Routed to Pond 1P : SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Peak Elev= 89.55' @ 12.13 hrs Surf.Area= 185 sf Storage= 36 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 17.5 min calculated for 0.007 af (100% of inflow)
 Center-of-Mass det. time= 17.5 min (856.9 - 839.5)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1	89.20'	183 cf	Custom Stage Data (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)

Elevation (feet)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)
89.20	25	0	0
89.60	210	47	47
90.00	470	136	183


Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	89.20'	2.410 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area
#2	Primary	89.40'	12.0" Round Culvert L= 88.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 89.40' / 88.15' S= 0.0142 ' / Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.01 cfs @ 12.13 hrs HW=89.55' (Free Discharge)
 ↑ **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.01 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.07 cfs @ 12.13 hrs HW=89.55' (Free Discharge)
 ↑ **2=Culvert** (Inlet Controls 0.07 cfs @ 1.02 fps)

Pond 2P: RAINGARDEN

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2*Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"*

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 39

Time span=5.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 861 points
 Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
 Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1S: NORTHWEST SITE Runoff Area=5,420 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.15"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.30 cfs 0.022 af

Subcatchment2S: NORTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=1,595 sf 0.56% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.15"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.09 cfs 0.007 af

Subcatchment3S: SOUTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=2,732 sf 0.26% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.15"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.15 cfs 0.011 af

Subcatchment4S: SOUTHWEST SITE Runoff Area=435 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.15"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.02 cfs 0.002 af

Subcatchment5S: CENTER SITE Runoff Area=17,129 sf 84.25% Impervious Runoff Depth>5.25"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=92 Runoff=2.29 cfs 0.172 af

Subcatchment6S: FRONT SITE Runoff Area=1,622 sf 33.48% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.26"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=73 Runoff=0.14 cfs 0.010 af

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.30 cfs 0.022 af
 Outflow=0.30 cfs 0.022 af

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.09 cfs 0.007 af
 Outflow=0.09 cfs 0.007 af

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.15 cfs 0.011 af
 Outflow=0.15 cfs 0.011 af

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST. Inflow=0.02 cfs 0.002 af
 Outflow=0.02 cfs 0.002 af

Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM Peak Elev=88.82' Storage=1,859 cf Inflow=2.39 cfs 0.177 af
 Outflow=0.49 cfs 0.177 af

Pond 2P: RAINGARDEN Peak Elev=89.59' Storage=44 cf Inflow=0.14 cfs 0.010 af
 Discarded=0.01 cfs 0.005 af Primary=0.12 cfs 0.005 af Outflow=0.13 cfs 0.010 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.664 ac Runoff Volume = 0.224 af Average Runoff Depth = 4.05"
48.19% Pervious = 0.320 ac 51.81% Impervious = 0.344 ac

221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 40

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.30 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.022 af, Depth= 2.15"
Routed to Reach DP-1 : NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
5,420	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
5,420		100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 41

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.09 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.007 af, Depth= 2.15"
 Routed to Reach DP-2 : NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,586	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
9	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG B
1,595	61	Weighted Average
1,586		99.44% Pervious Area
9		0.56% Impervious Area
9		100.00% Unconnected

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 42

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.15 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.011 af, Depth= 2.15"
 Routed to Reach DP-3 : SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
2,725	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
7	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG B
2,732	61	Weighted Average
2,725		99.74% Pervious Area
7		0.26% Impervious Area
7		100.00% Unconnected

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 43

Summary for Subcatchment 4S: SOUTHWEST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.02 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af, Depth= 2.15"
 Routed to Reach DP-4 : CONCORD ST.


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
435	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
435		100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 4S: SOUTHWEST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 44

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: CENTER SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 2.29 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.172 af, Depth> 5.25"
 Routed to Pond 1P : SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
2,698	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
8,835	98	Paved parking, HSG B
5,596	98	Roofs, HSG B
17,129	92	Weighted Average
2,698		15.75% Pervious Area
14,431		84.25% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 5S: CENTER SITE

Hydrograph



Summary for Subcatchment 6S: FRONT SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.14 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.010 af, Depth= 3.26"
 Routed to Pond 2P : RAINGARDEN


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,079	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
543	98	Paved parking, HSG B
1,622	73	Weighted Average
1,079		66.52% Pervious Area
543		33.48% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 6S: FRONT SITE

Hydrograph




Summary for Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.124 ac, 0.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.15" for 25-Year event
Inflow = 0.30 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.022 af
Outflow = 0.30 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.022 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

Summary for Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.037 ac, 0.56% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.15" for 25-Year event
Inflow = 0.09 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.007 af
Outflow = 0.09 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.007 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.063 ac, 0.26% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.15" for 25-Year event
Inflow = 0.15 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.011 af
Outflow = 0.15 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.011 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.010 ac, 0.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.15" for 25-Year event
Inflow = 0.02 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af
Outflow = 0.02 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 50

Summary for Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM

[82] Warning: Early inflow requires earlier time span

[79] Warning: Submerged Pond 2P Primary device # 2 OUTLET by 0.67'

Inflow Area = 0.430 ac, 79.86% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 4.92" for 25-Year event
 Inflow = 2.39 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.177 af
 Outflow = 0.49 cfs @ 11.75 hrs, Volume= 0.177 af, Atten= 80%, Lag= 0.0 min
 Discarded = 0.49 cfs @ 11.75 hrs, Volume= 0.177 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Peak Elev= 88.82' @ 12.50 hrs Surf.Area= 2,552 sf Storage= 1,859 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow)
 Center-of-Mass det. time= 20.3 min (797.3 - 777.1)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	87.65'	1,829 cf	24.25'W x 105.25'L x 2.54'H Field A 6,487 cf Overall - 1,915 cf Embedded = 4,573 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	88.15'	1,915 cf	Cultec R-150XLHD x 70 Inside #1 Effective Size= 29.8"W x 18.0"H => 2.65 sf x 10.25'L = 27.2 cf Overall Size= 33.0"W x 18.5"H x 11.00'L with 0.75' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +0.75' x 2.65 sf x 7 rows
		3,744 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	87.65'	8.270 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.49 cfs @ 11.75 hrs HW=87.69' (Free Discharge)↑**1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.49 cfs)

Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM - Chamber Wizard Field A**Chamber Model = Cultec R-150XLHD (Cultec Recharger® 150XLHD)**

Effective Size= 29.8"W x 18.0"H => 2.65 sf x 10.25'L = 27.2 cf

Overall Size= 33.0"W x 18.5"H x 11.00'L with 0.75' Overlap

Row Length Adjustment= +0.75' x 2.65 sf x 7 rows

33.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 39.0" C-C Row Spacing

10 Chambers/Row x 10.25' Long +0.75' Row Adjustment = 103.25' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 105.25' Base Length

7 Rows x 33.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 6 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 24.25' Base Width

6.0" Stone Base + 18.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Stone Cover = 2.54' Field Height

70 Chambers x 27.2 cf +0.75' Row Adjustment x 2.65 sf x 7 Rows = 1,914.6 cf Chamber Storage

6,487.1 cf Field - 1,914.6 cf Chambers = 4,572.6 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 1,829.0 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 3,743.6 cf = 0.086 af


Overall Storage Efficiency = 57.7%


Overall System Size = 105.25' x 24.25' x 2.54'

70 Chambers

240.3 cy Field

169.4 cy Stone



Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM

221-187_POST2

Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.21"

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 53

Summary for Pond 2P: RAINGARDEN

Inflow Area = 0.037 ac, 33.48% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 3.26" for 25-Year event
 Inflow = 0.14 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.010 af
 Outflow = 0.13 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.010 af, Atten= 10%, Lag= 2.6 min
 Discarded = 0.01 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.005 af
 Primary = 0.12 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.005 af
 Routed to Pond 1P : SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Peak Elev= 89.59' @ 12.12 hrs Surf.Area= 204 sf Storage= 44 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 16.6 min calculated for 0.010 af (100% of inflow)
 Center-of-Mass det. time= 16.6 min (845.3 - 828.7)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1	89.20'	183 cf	Custom Stage Data (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)

Elevation (feet)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)
89.20	25	0	0
89.60	210	47	47
90.00	470	136	183


Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	89.20'	2.410 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area
#2	Primary	89.40'	12.0" Round Culvert L= 88.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 89.40' / 88.15' S= 0.0142 ' / Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.01 cfs @ 12.12 hrs HW=89.58' (Free Discharge)
 ↑ **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.01 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.11 cfs @ 12.12 hrs HW=89.58' (Free Discharge)
 ↑ **2=Culvert** (Inlet Controls 0.11 cfs @ 1.15 fps)

Pond 2P: RAINGARDEN

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 55

Time span=5.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 861 points
 Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
 Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1S: NORTHWEST SITE Runoff Area=5,420 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.01"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.58 cfs 0.042 af

Subcatchment2S: NORTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=1,595 sf 0.56% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.01"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.17 cfs 0.012 af

Subcatchment3S: SOUTHEAST SITE Runoff Area=2,732 sf 0.26% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.01"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.29 cfs 0.021 af

Subcatchment4S: SOUTHWEST SITE Runoff Area=435 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.01"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=61 Runoff=0.05 cfs 0.003 af

Subcatchment5S: CENTER SITE Runoff Area=17,129 sf 84.25% Impervious Runoff Depth>7.69"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=92 Runoff=3.30 cfs 0.252 af

Subcatchment6S: FRONT SITE Runoff Area=1,622 sf 33.48% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.46"
 Tc=5.0 min CN=73 Runoff=0.24 cfs 0.017 af

Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.58 cfs 0.042 af
 Outflow=0.58 cfs 0.042 af

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.17 cfs 0.012 af
 Outflow=0.17 cfs 0.012 af

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE Inflow=0.29 cfs 0.021 af
 Outflow=0.29 cfs 0.021 af

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST. Inflow=0.05 cfs 0.003 af
 Outflow=0.05 cfs 0.003 af

Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM Peak Elev=89.88' Storage=3,426 cf Inflow=3.48 cfs 0.261 af
 Outflow=0.49 cfs 0.261 af

Pond 2P: RAINGARDEN Peak Elev=89.65' Storage=58 cf Inflow=0.24 cfs 0.017 af
 Discarded=0.01 cfs 0.007 af Primary=0.20 cfs 0.010 af Outflow=0.22 cfs 0.017 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.664 ac Runoff Volume = 0.347 af Average Runoff Depth = 6.27"
48.19% Pervious = 0.320 ac 51.81% Impervious = 0.344 ac

221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 56

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.58 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.042 af, Depth= 4.01"
 Routed to Reach DP-1 : NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
5,420	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
5,420		100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 1S: NORTHWEST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 57

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.17 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.012 af, Depth= 4.01"
 Routed to Reach DP-2 : NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,586	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
9	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG B
1,595	61	Weighted Average
1,586		99.44% Pervious Area
9		0.56% Impervious Area
9		100.00% Unconnected

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 2S: NORTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 58

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.29 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.021 af, Depth= 4.01"
 Routed to Reach DP-3 : SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
2,725	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
7	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG B
2,732	61	Weighted Average
2,725		99.74% Pervious Area
7		0.26% Impervious Area
7		100.00% Unconnected

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 3S: SOUTHEAST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 59

Summary for Subcatchment 4S: SOUTHWEST SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.05 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.003 af, Depth= 4.01"
 Routed to Reach DP-4 : CONCORD ST.


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
435	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
435		100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 4S: SOUTHWEST SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 60

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: CENTER SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 3.30 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.252 af, Depth> 7.69"
 Routed to Pond 1P : SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
2,698	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
8,835	98	Paved parking, HSG B
5,596	98	Roofs, HSG B
17,129	92	Weighted Average
2,698		15.75% Pervious Area
14,431		84.25% Impervious Area

T_c (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 5S: CENTER SITE

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Printed 1/11/2022

Page 61

Summary for Subcatchment 6S: FRONT SITE[49] Hint: $T_c < 2dt$ may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.24 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.017 af, Depth= 5.46"
 Routed to Pond 2P : RAINGARDEN


Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, $dt=0.05$ hrs
 Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,079	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
543	98	Paved parking, HSG B
1,622	73	Weighted Average
1,079		66.52% Pervious Area
543		33.48% Impervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
5.0					Direct Entry, DIRECT

Subcatchment 6S: FRONT SITE

Hydrograph




Summary for Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.124 ac, 0.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 4.01" for 100-Year event
Inflow = 0.58 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.042 af
Outflow = 0.58 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.042 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs


Reach DP-1: NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE

Summary for Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.037 ac, 0.56% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 4.01" for 100-Year event
Inflow = 0.17 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.012 af
Outflow = 0.17 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.012 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-2: NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Summary for Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.063 ac, 0.26% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 4.01" for 100-Year event
Inflow = 0.29 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.021 af
Outflow = 0.29 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.021 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-3: SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE

Hydrograph



Summary for Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.


[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area = 0.010 ac, 0.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 4.01" for 100-Year event
Inflow = 0.05 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.003 af
Outflow = 0.05 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.003 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach DP-4: CONCORD ST.

Hydrograph



221-187_POST2

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 66

Summary for Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM

[82] Warning: Early inflow requires earlier time span

[81] Warning: Exceeded Pond 2P by 0.38' @ 12.60 hrs

Inflow Area = 0.430 ac, 79.86% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 7.29" for 100-Year event
 Inflow = 3.48 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.261 af
 Outflow = 0.49 cfs @ 11.65 hrs, Volume= 0.261 af, Atten= 86%, Lag= 0.0 min
 Discarded = 0.49 cfs @ 11.65 hrs, Volume= 0.261 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Peak Elev= 89.88' @ 12.58 hrs Surf.Area= 2,552 sf Storage= 3,426 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow)
 Center-of-Mass det. time= 42.9 min (813.5 - 770.6)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	87.65'	1,829 cf	24.25'W x 105.25'L x 2.54'H Field A 6,487 cf Overall - 1,915 cf Embedded = 4,573 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	88.15'	1,915 cf	Cultec R-150XLHD x 70 Inside #1 Effective Size= 29.8"W x 18.0"H => 2.65 sf x 10.25'L = 27.2 cf Overall Size= 33.0"W x 18.5"H x 11.00'L with 0.75' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +0.75' x 2.65 sf x 7 rows
		3,744 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	87.65'	8.270 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.49 cfs @ 11.65 hrs HW=87.69' (Free Discharge)

↑1=Exfiltration (Exfiltration Controls 0.49 cfs)

Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM - Chamber Wizard Field A**Chamber Model = Cultec R-150XLHD (Cultec Recharger®150XLHD)**

Effective Size= 29.8"W x 18.0"H => 2.65 sf x 10.25'L = 27.2 cf

Overall Size= 33.0"W x 18.5"H x 11.00'L with 0.75' Overlap

Row Length Adjustment= +0.75' x 2.65 sf x 7 rows

33.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 39.0" C-C Row Spacing

10 Chambers/Row x 10.25' Long +0.75' Row Adjustment = 103.25' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 105.25' Base Length

7 Rows x 33.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 6 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 24.25' Base Width

6.0" Stone Base + 18.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Stone Cover = 2.54' Field Height

70 Chambers x 27.2 cf +0.75' Row Adjustment x 2.65 sf x 7 Rows = 1,914.6 cf Chamber Storage

6,487.1 cf Field - 1,914.6 cf Chambers = 4,572.6 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 1,829.0 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 3,743.6 cf = 0.086 af


Overall Storage Efficiency = 57.7%


Overall System Size = 105.25' x 24.25' x 2.54'

70 Chambers

240.3 cy Field

169.4 cy Stone



Pond 1P: SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM

221-187_POST2

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.73"

Prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.

Printed 1/11/2022

HydroCAD® 10.10-7a s/n 00452 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 69

Summary for Pond 2P: RAINGARDEN

Inflow Area = 0.037 ac, 33.48% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 5.46" for 100-Year event
 Inflow = 0.24 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.017 af
 Outflow = 0.22 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.017 af, Atten= 8%, Lag= 2.4 min
 Discarded = 0.01 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.007 af
 Primary = 0.20 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.010 af
 Routed to Pond 1P : SUBSURFACE INFIL. SYSTEM

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
 Peak Elev= 89.65' @ 12.12 hrs Surf.Area= 242 sf Storage= 58 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 15.4 min calculated for 0.017 af (100% of inflow)
 Center-of-Mass det. time= 15.4 min (829.2 - 813.9)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1	89.20'	183 cf	Custom Stage Data (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)

Elevation (feet)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)
89.20	25	0	0
89.60	210	47	47
90.00	470	136	183

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	89.20'	2.410 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area
#2	Primary	89.40'	12.0" Round Culvert L= 88.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 89.40' / 88.15' S= 0.0142 ' / Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.01 cfs @ 12.12 hrs HW=89.65' (Free Discharge)
 ↑ **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.01 cfs)


Primary OutFlow Max=0.20 cfs @ 12.11 hrs HW=89.65' (Free Discharge)
 ↑ **2=Culvert** (Inlet Controls 0.20 cfs @ 1.33 fps)

A P P E N D I X C

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Pond 2P: RAINGARDEN

Hydrograph






Checklist for Stormwater Report

A. Introduction

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A Stormwater Report must be submitted with the Notice of Intent permit application to document compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards. The following checklist is NOT a substitute for the Stormwater Report (which should provide more substantive and detailed information) but is offered here as a tool to help the applicant organize their Stormwater Management documentation for their Report and for the reviewer to assess this information in a consistent format. As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Report must contain the engineering computations and supporting information set forth in Volume 3 of the [Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook](#). The Stormwater Report must be prepared and certified by a Registered Professional Engineer (RPE) licensed in the Commonwealth.

The Stormwater Report must include:

- The Stormwater Checklist completed and stamped by a Registered Professional Engineer (see page 2) that certifies that the Stormwater Report contains all required submittals.¹ This Checklist is to be used as the cover for the completed Stormwater Report.
- Applicant/Project Name
- Project Address
- Name of Firm and Registered Professional Engineer that prepared the Report
- Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan required by Standards 4-6
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan required by Standard 8²
- Operation and Maintenance Plan required by Standard 9

In addition to all plans and supporting information, the Stormwater Report must include a brief narrative describing stormwater management practices, including environmentally sensitive site design and LID techniques, along with a diagram depicting runoff through the proposed BMP treatment train. Plans are required to show existing and proposed conditions, identify all wetland resource areas, NRCS soil types, critical areas, Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPL), and any areas on the site where infiltration rate is greater than 2.4 inches per hour. The Plans shall identify the drainage areas for both existing and proposed conditions at a scale that enables verification of supporting calculations.

As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Management Report shall document compliance with each of the Stormwater Management Standards as provided in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The soils evaluation and calculations shall be done using the methodologies set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

To ensure that the Stormwater Report is complete, applicants are required to fill in the Stormwater Report Checklist by checking the box to indicate that the specified information has been included in the Stormwater Report. If any of the information specified in the checklist has not been submitted, the applicant must provide an explanation. The completed Stormwater Report Checklist and Certification must be submitted with the Stormwater Report.

¹ The Stormwater Report may also include the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement required by Standard 10. If not included in the Stormwater Report, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement must be submitted prior to the discharge of stormwater runoff to the post-construction best management practices.

² For some complex projects, it may not be possible to include the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan in the Stormwater Report. In that event, the issuing authority has the discretion to issue an Order of Conditions that approves the project and includes a condition requiring the proponent to submit the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan before commencing any land disturbance activity on the site.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

B. Stormwater Checklist and Certification

The following checklist is intended to serve as a guide for applicants as to the elements that ordinarily need to be addressed in a complete Stormwater Report. The checklist is also intended to provide conservation commissions and other reviewing authorities with a summary of the components necessary for a comprehensive Stormwater Report that addresses the ten Stormwater Standards.


Note: Because stormwater requirements vary from project to project, it is possible that a complete Stormwater Report may not include information on some of the subjects specified in the Checklist. If it is determined that a specific item does not apply to the project under review, please note that the item is not applicable (N.A.) and provide the reasons for that determination.

A complete checklist must include the Certification set forth below signed by the Registered Professional Engineer who prepared the Stormwater Report.

Registered Professional Engineer's Certification

I have reviewed the Stormwater Report, including the soil evaluation, computations, Long-term Pollution Prevention Plan, the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (if included), the Long-term Post-Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement (if included) and the plans showing the stormwater management system, and have determined that they have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Stormwater Management Standards as further elaborated by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. I have also determined that the information presented in the Stormwater Checklist is accurate and that the information presented in the Stormwater Report accurately reflects conditions at the site as of the date of this permit application.

Registered Professional Engineer Block and Signature



Signature and Date

11/30/21

Checklist

Project Type: Is the application for new development, redevelopment, or a mix of new and redevelopment?

- ☒ New development
- ☐ Redevelopment
- ☐ Mix of New Development and Redevelopment



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

LID Measures: Stormwater Standards require LID measures to be considered. Document what environmentally sensitive design and LID Techniques were considered during the planning and design of the project:

- ☒ No disturbance to any Wetland Resource Areas
- ☐ Site Design Practices (e.g. clustered development, reduced frontage setbacks)
- ☐ Reduced Impervious Area (Redevelopment Only)
- ☒ Minimizing disturbance to existing trees and shrubs
- ☐ LID Site Design Credit Requested:
 - ☐ Credit 1
 - ☐ Credit 2
 - ☐ Credit 3
- ☐ Use of "country drainage" versus curb and gutter conveyance and pipe
- ☒ Bioretention Cells (includes Rain Gardens)
- ☐ Constructed Stormwater Wetlands (includes Gravel Wetlands designs)
- ☐ Treebox Filter
- ☐ Water Quality Swale
- ☐ Grass Channel
- ☐ Green Roof
- ☒ Other (describe): Subsurface Infiltration Chambers

Standard 1: No New Untreated Discharges

- ☒ No new untreated discharges
- ☒ Outlets have been designed so there is no erosion or scour to wetlands and waters of the Commonwealth
- ☒ Supporting calculations specified in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook included.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation

- ☐ Standard 2 waiver requested because the project is located in land subject to coastal storm flowage and stormwater discharge is to a wetland subject to coastal flooding.
- ☐ Evaluation provided to determine whether off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm.
- ☒ Calculations provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development rates for the 2-year and 10-year 24-hour storms. If evaluation shows that off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm, calculations are also provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development rates for the 100-year 24-hour storm.

Standard 3: Recharge

- ☒ Soil Analysis provided.
- ☒ Required Recharge Volume calculation provided.
- ☐ Required Recharge volume reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- ☒ Sizing the infiltration, BMPs is based on the following method: Check the method used.
 - ☐ Static
 - ☒ Simple Dynamic
 - ☐ Dynamic Field¹
- ☒ Runoff from all impervious areas at the site discharging to the infiltration BMP.
- ☐ Runoff from all impervious areas at the site is *not* discharging to the infiltration BMP and calculations are provided showing that the drainage area contributing runoff to the infiltration BMPs is sufficient to generate the required recharge volume.
- ☒ Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume.
- ☐ Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume *only* to the maximum extent practicable for the following reason:
 - ☐ Site is comprised solely of C and D soils and/or bedrock at the land surface
 - ☐ M.G.L. c. 21E sites pursuant to 310 CMR 40.0000
 - ☐ Solid Waste Landfill pursuant to 310 CMR 19.000
 - ☐ Project is otherwise subject to Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum extent practicable.
- ☒ Calculations showing that the infiltration BMPs will drain in 72 hours are provided.
- ☐ Property includes a M.G.L. c. 21E site or a solid waste landfill and a mounding analysis is included.

¹ 80% TSS removal is required prior to discharge to infiltration BMP if Dynamic Field method is used.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 3: Recharge (continued)

- ☐ The infiltration BMP is used to attenuate peak flows during storms greater than or equal to the 10-year 24-hour storm and separation to seasonal high groundwater is less than 4 feet and a mounding analysis is provided.
- ☐ Documentation is provided showing that infiltration BMPs do not adversely impact nearby wetland resource areas.

Standard 4: Water Quality

The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan typically includes the following:

- Good housekeeping practices;
 - Provisions for storing materials and waste products inside or under cover;
 - Vehicle washing controls;
 - Requirements for routine inspections and maintenance of stormwater BMPs;
 - Spill prevention and response plans;
 - Provisions for maintenance of lawns, gardens, and other landscaped areas;
 - Requirements for storage and use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides;
 - Pet waste management provisions;
 - Provisions for operation and management of septic systems;
 - Provisions for solid waste management;
 - Snow disposal and plowing plans relative to Wetland Resource Areas;
 - Winter Road Salt and/or Sand Use and Storage restrictions;
 - Street sweeping schedules;
 - Provisions for prevention of illicit discharges to the stormwater management system;
 - Documentation that Stormwater BMPs are designed to provide for shutdown and containment in the event of a spill or discharges to or near critical areas or from LUHPPL;
 - Training for staff or personnel involved with implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan;
 - List of Emergency contacts for implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- ☐ A Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan is attached to Stormwater Report and is included as an attachment to the Wetlands Notice of Intent.
 - ☐ Treatment BMPs subject to the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement and the one inch rule for calculating the water quality volume are included, and discharge:
 - ☐ is within the Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area
 - ☐ is near or to other critical areas
 - ☐ is within soils with a rapid infiltration rate (greater than 2.4 inches per hour)
 - ☐ involves runoff from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads.
 - ☐ The Required Water Quality Volume is reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
 - ☒ Calculations documenting that the treatment train meets the 80% TSS removal requirement and, if applicable, the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement, are provided.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 4: Water Quality (continued)

- ☒ The BMP is sized (and calculations provided) based on:
 - ☒ The ½" or 1" Water Quality Volume or
 - ☐ The equivalent flow rate associated with the Water Quality Volume and documentation is provided showing that the BMP treats the required water quality volume.
- ☒ The applicant proposes to use proprietary BMPs, and documentation supporting use of proprietary BMP and proposed TSS removal rate is provided. This documentation may be in the form of the proprietary BMP checklist found in Volume 2, Chapter 4 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook and submitting copies of the TARP Report, STEP Report, and/or other third party studies verifying performance of the proprietary BMPs.
- ☐ A TMDL exists that indicates a need to reduce pollutants other than TSS and documentation showing that the BMPs selected are consistent with the TMDL is provided.

Standard 5: Land Uses With Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs)

- ☐ The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been included with the Stormwater Report.
- ☐ The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the SWPPP will be submitted **prior to** the discharge of stormwater to the post-construction stormwater BMPs.
- ☐ The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit does **not** cover the land use.
- ☐ LUHPPLs are located at the site and industry specific source control and pollution prevention measures have been proposed to reduce or eliminate the exposure of LUHPPLs to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff, and been included in the long term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- ☐ All exposure has been eliminated.
- ☐ All exposure has **not** been eliminated and all BMPs selected are on MassDEP LUHPPL list.
- ☐ The LUHPPL has the potential to generate runoff with moderate to higher concentrations of oil and grease (e.g. all parking lots with >1000 vehicle trips per day) and the treatment train includes an oil grit separator, a filtering bioretention area, a sand filter or equivalent.

Standard 6: Critical Areas

- ☐ The discharge is near or to a critical area and the treatment train includes only BMPs that MassDEP has approved for stormwater discharges to or near that particular class of critical area.
- ☐ Critical areas and BMPs are identified in the Stormwater Report.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 7: Redevelopments and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the maximum extent practicable

- ☐ The project is subject to the Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum Extent Practicable as a:
 - ☐ Limited Project
 - ☐ Small Residential Projects: 5-9 single family houses or 5-9 units in a multi-family development provided there is no discharge that may potentially affect a critical area.
 - ☐ Small Residential Projects: 2-4 single family houses or 2-4 units in a multi-family development with a discharge to a critical area
 - ☐ Marina and/or boatyard provided the hull painting, service and maintenance areas are protected from exposure to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff
 - ☐ Bike Path and/or Foot Path
 - ☐ Redevelopment Project
 - ☐ Redevelopment portion of mix of new and redevelopment.
- ☐ Certain standards are not fully met (Standard No. 1, 8, 9, and 10 must always be fully met) and an explanation of why these standards are not met is contained in the Stormwater Report.
- ☐ The project involves redevelopment and a description of all measures that have been taken to improve existing conditions is provided in the Stormwater Report. The redevelopment checklist found in Volume 2 Chapter 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook may be used to document that the proposed stormwater management system (a) complies with Standards 2, 3 and the pretreatment and structural BMP requirements of Standards 4-6 to the maximum extent practicable and (b) improves existing conditions.

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan must include the following information:

- Narrative;
 - Construction Period Operation and Maintenance Plan;
 - Names of Persons or Entity Responsible for Plan Compliance;
 - Construction Period Pollution Prevention Measures;
 - Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawings;
 - Detail drawings and specifications for erosion control BMPs, including sizing calculations;
 - Vegetation Planning;
 - Site Development Plan;
 - Construction Sequencing Plan;
 - Sequencing of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
 - Operation and Maintenance of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
 - Inspection Schedule;
 - Maintenance Schedule;
 - Inspection and Maintenance Log Form.
- ☒ A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan containing the information set forth above has been included in the Stormwater Report.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control (continued)

- ☐ The project is highly complex and information is included in the Stormwater Report that explains why it is not possible to submit the Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan with the application. A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control has **not** been included in the Stormwater Report but will be submitted **before** land disturbance begins.
- ☐ The project is **not** covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit.
- ☐ The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit and a copy of the SWPPP is in the Stormwater Report.
- ☒ The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit but no SWPPP been submitted. The SWPPP will be submitted BEFORE land disturbance begins.

Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan

- ☒ The Post Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan is included in the Stormwater Report and includes the following information:
 - ☒ Name of the stormwater management system owners;
 - ☒ Party responsible for operation and maintenance;
 - ☒ Schedule for implementation of routine and non-routine maintenance tasks;
 - ☒ Plan showing the location of all stormwater BMPs maintenance access areas;
 - ☒ Description and delineation of public safety features;
 - ☐ Estimated operation and maintenance budget; and
 - ☒ Operation and Maintenance Log Form.
- ☐ The responsible party is **not** the owner of the parcel where the BMP is located and the Stormwater Report includes the following submissions:
 - ☐ A copy of the legal instrument (deed, homeowner's association, utility trust or other legal entity) that establishes the terms of and legal responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the project site stormwater BMPs;
 - ☐ A plan and easement deed that allows site access for the legal entity to operate and maintain BMP functions.

Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

- ☒ The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan includes measures to prevent illicit discharges;
- ☒ An Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached;
- ☐ NO Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached but will be submitted **prior to** the discharge of any stormwater to post-construction BMPs.

A P P E N D I X D

Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement Supplemental BMP Calculations

Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement

I, Bradley C. McKenzie, P.E., hereby notify the Rockland Conservation Commission that I have not witnessed, nor am aware of any existing illicit discharges at the site known as 320 Concord Street (Assessor's Map 57, Parcel 70) in Rockland, Massachusetts. I also hereby certify that the development of said property as illustrated on the final plans entitled "Site Development Plan, (Assessor's Map 57, Parcel 70), 320 Concord Street, Rockland, Massachusetts," prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc. dated October 7, 2021 and as revised and approved by the Rockland Conservation Commission and maintenance thereof in accordance with the "Construction Phase Operations and Maintenance Plan" and "Long-Term Operations and Maintenance Plan" prepared by McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc. dated November 30, 2021 and as revised and approved by the Rockland Conservation Commission will not create any new illicit discharges. There is no warranty implied regarding future illicit discharges that may occur as a result of improper construction or maintenance of the stormwater management system or unforeseen accidents.

Name: Bradley C. McKenzie, P.E.
Company: McKenzie Engineering Group, Inc.
Title: President
Signature: 
Date: 11/30/21



Assinippi Office Park
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101
Norwell, MA 02061

320 CONCORD STREET
ASSESSORS MAP 57, PARCEL 70
ROCKLAND, MA

1/3/2022

WATER QUALITY VOLUME ANALYSIS

POND	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF) CN=98	PRECIPITATION (IN)	WATER QUALITY VOLUME REQUIRED (CF)	TREATMENT VOLUME PROVIDED (CF) UP TO INVERT ELEVATION	NET TREATMENT VOLUME PROVIDED (CF)
P-1	14,431	0.50	601	3,742	3,141
P-2	543	0.50	23	14	-9
TOTAL	14,974		624	3,756	3,132

WATER QUALITY VOLUME ANALYSIS - PROPRIETARY STORMWATER TREATMENT UNITS (FIRST DEFENSE UNITS)*

	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF) CN=98	PRECIPITATION (IN)	qu (Fig 4) Tc 6 min. (CSM/IN)	AREA (SM)	WATER QUALITY REQUIRED (CFS)
P-1	14,431	0.50	774	5.176E-04	0.200
P-2	543	0.50	774	1.948E-05	0.008

*Use 4' Diameter First Defense Units



Assinippi Office Park
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101
Norwell, MA 02061

320 CONCORD STREET
ASSESSORS MAP 57, PARCEL 70
ROCKLAND, MA

1/3/2022

REQUIRED RECHARGE VOLUME (CF) "STATIC METHOD"

WATERSHED #	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	TARGET DEPTH FACTOR (F) A SOIL	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	TARGET DEPTH FACTOR (F) B SOIL	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	TARGET DEPTH FACTOR (F) C SOIL	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	TARGET DEPTH FACTOR (F) D SOIL	REQUIRED RECHARGE VOLUME (CF)
TOTAL SITE		0.60	14,990	0.35		0.25		0.10	437
		0.60		0.35		0.25		0.10	0
		0.60		0.35		0.25		0.10	0
							TOTAL		437

CAPTURE ADJUSTMENT

WATERSHED #	TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	TOTAL IMPERVIOUS COLLECTED	% DIRECTED TOWARDS INFILTRATION SYSTEM	STANDARD NO. 3 <100% - > 65% CAPTURED	CAPTURE ADJUSTMENT	ADJUSTED REQUIRED RECHARGE VOLUME (CF)
TOTAL SITE	14,990	14,974	99.89%	CAPTURE ADJUSTMENT REQUIRED	1.00	438

* Required Water Quality Volume based on 0.5 inches of runoff; Required Recharge Volume based on 0.35 inches; Target Volume is Required Water Quality Volume of 624CF.

PROVIDED RECHARGE VOLUME (CF)

BELOW LOWEST INVERT

REQUIRED RECHARGE VOLUME (CF)	POND	STORAGE VOLUME PROVIDED (CF)	NET STORAGE VOLUME PROVIDED (CF)
438	P-1	3,742	3,304
	P-2	14	14
TOTAL		3,756	3,318



Assinippi Office Park
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101
Norwell, MA 02061

320 CONCORD STREET
ASSESSORS MAP 57, PARCEL 70
ROCKLAND, MA

1/3/2022

DRAWDOWN WITHIN 72 HOURS ANALYSIS

POND	RAWLS RATE (IN/HR)	STORAGE VOLUME PROVIDED (CF)	BOTTOM AREA (FT ²)	DRAWDOWN (HR)
P-1	8.2700	3,742	2,552	2
P-2	2.41	183	150	6



Assinippi Office Park
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101
Norwell, MA 02061

Standard 4: Total Suspended Solids Calculation: Subsurface Chambers P-1

NAME: 320 Concord Street
Rockland, MA
CLIENT: Wall Street Development Corp.
COUNTY: Plymouth

Proj. No.: 221-187
Date: 11/11/2021
Revised:
Computed by: ESS
Checked by: BCM

TSS Removal Calculation	B	C	D	E	F
	BMP	TSS Removal Rate	Starting TSS Load (*F)	Amount Removed (C*D)	Remaining Load (D-E)
		0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
	Deep Sump and Hooded Catch Basin	0.25	1.00	0.25	0.75
	First Defense-Recommended TSS Removal Per Mass STEP	0.70	0.75	0.53	0.23
	Subsurface Infiltration Structure	0.80	0.23	0.18	0.05
		0.00	0.05	0.00	0.05
Total TSS Removal =				96%	

*Equals remaining load from previous BMP (E)
which enters the BMP



Assinippi Office Park
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101
Norwell, MA 02061


Standard 4: Total Suspended Solids Calculation: Infiltration Basin

NAME: 320 Concord Street
Rockland, MA
CLIENT: Wall Street Development Corp.
COUNTY: Plymouth

Proj. No.: 221-187
Date: 11/11/2021
Revised:
Computed by: ESS
Checked by: BCM

TSS Removal Calculation Worksheet	B	C	D	E	F
	BMP	TSS Removal Rate	Starting TSS Load (*F)	Amount Removed (C*D)	Remaining Load (D-E)
		0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
	Bioretention Area with Pretreatment (Rain Garden)	0.90	1.00	0.90	0.10
		0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10
		0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10
		0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10
Total TSS Removal =				90%	

*Equals remaining load from previous BMP (E)
which enters the BMP



Operation and Maintenance Manual

First Defense® and First Defense® High Capacity

Vortex Separator for Stormwater Treatment

Table of Contents

3	FIRST DEFENSE® BY HYDRO INTERNATIONAL - INTRODUCTION - OPERATION - POLLUTANT CAPTURE AND RETENTION
4	MODEL SIZES & CONFIGURATIONS - FIRST DEFENSE® COMPONENTS
5	MAINTENANCE - OVERVIEW - MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT CONSIDERATIONS - DETERMINING YOUR MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE
6	MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES - INSPECTION - FLOATABLES AND SEDIMENT CLEAN OUT
8	FIRST DEFENSE® INSTALLATION LOG
9	FIRST DEFENSE® INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE LOG

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT: The contents of this manual, including the graphics contained herein, are intended for the use of the recipient to whom the document and all associated information are directed. Hydro International plc owns the copyright of this document, which is supplied in confidence. It must not be used for any purpose other than that for which it is supplied and must not be reproduced, in whole or in part stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior permission in writing from Hydro International plc. First Defense® is a trademarked hydrodynamic vortex separation device of Hydro International plc. A patent covering the First Defense® has been granted.

DISCLAIMER: Information and data contained in this manual is exclusively for the purpose of assisting in the operation and maintenance of Hydro International plc's First Defense®. No warranty is given nor can liability be accepted for use of this information for any other purpose. Hydro International plc has a policy of continuous product development and reserves the right to amend specifications without notice.

HYDRO MAINTENANCE SERVICES

Hydro International has been engineering stormwater treatment systems for over 30 years. We understand the mechanics of removing pollutants from stormwater and how to keep systems running at an optimal level.

NOBODY KNOWS OUR SYSTEMS BETTER THAN WE DO



AVOID SERVICE NEGLIGENCE

Sanitation services providers not intimately familiar with stormwater treatment systems are at risk of the following:

- Inadvertently breaking parts or failing to clean/replace system components appropriately.
- Charging you for more frequent maintenance because they lacked the tools to service your system properly in the first place.
- Billing you for replacement parts that might have been covered under your Hydro warranty plan
- Charging for maintenance that may not yet have been required.

LEAVE THE DIRTY WORK TO US

Trash, sediment and polluted water is stored inside treatment systems until they are removed by our team with a vactor truck. Sometimes teams must physically enter the system chambers in order to prepare the system for maintenance and install any replacement parts. Services include but are not limited to:

- Solids removal
- Removal of liquid pollutants
- Replacement media installation (when applicable)



BETTER TOOLS, BETTER RESULTS

Not all vacuum trucks are created equal. Appropriate tools and suction power are needed to service stormwater systems appropriately. Companies who don't specialize in stormwater treatment won't have the tools to properly clean systems or install new parts.



SERVICE WARRANTY

Make sure you're not paying for service that is covered under your warranty plan. Only Hydro International's service teams can identify tune-ups that should be on us, not you.

TREATMENT SYSTEMS SERVICED BY HYDRO:

- Stormwater filters
- Stormwater separators
- Baffle boxes
- Biofilters/biorention systems
- Storage structures
- Catch basins
- Stormwater ponds
- Permeable pavement



SAVE TIME & MONEY: CALL HYDRO FOR A QUOTE

1 (888) 382-7808

LEARN MORE AT HYDRO-INT.COM/SERVICE

I. First Defense® by Hydro International

Introduction

The First Defense® is an enhanced vortex separator that combines an effective and economical stormwater treatment chamber with an integral peak flow bypass. It efficiently removes total suspended solids (TSS), trash and hydrocarbons from stormwater runoff without washing out previously captured pollutants. The First Defense® is available in several model configurations (refer to *Section II. Model Sizes & Configurations*, page 4) to accommodate a wide range of pipe sizes, peak flows and depth constraints.

Operation

The First Defense® operates on simple fluid hydraulics. It is self-activating, has no moving parts, no external power requirement and is fabricated with durable non-corrosive components. No manual procedures are required to operate the unit and maintenance is limited to monitoring accumulations of stored pollutants and periodic clean-outs. The First Defense® has been designed to allow for easy and safe access for inspection, monitoring and clean-out procedures. Neither entry into the unit nor removal of the internal components is necessary for maintenance, thus safety concerns related to confined-space-entry are avoided.

Pollutant Capture and Retention

The internal components of the First Defense® have been designed to optimize pollutant capture. Sediment is captured and retained in the base of the unit, while oil and floatables are stored on the water surface in the inner volume (Fig.1).

The pollutant storage volumes are isolated from the built-in bypass chamber to prevent washout during high-flow storm events. The sump of the First Defense® retains a standing water level between storm events. This ensures a quiescent flow regime at the onset of a storm, preventing resuspension and washout of pollutants captured during previous events.

Accessories such as oil absorbent pads are available for enhanced oil removal and storage. Due to the separation of the oil and floatable storage volume from the outlet, the potential for washout of stored pollutants between clean-outs is minimized.

Applications

- Stormwater treatment at the point of entry into the drainage line
- Sites constrained by space, topography or drainage profiles with limited slope and depth of cover
- Retrofit installations where stormwater treatment is placed on or tied into an existing storm drain line
- Pretreatment for filters, infiltration and storage

Advantages

- Inlet options include surface grate or multiple inlet pipes
- Integral high capacity bypass conveys large peak flows without the need for "offline" arrangements using separate junction manholes
- Proven to prevent pollutant washout at up to 500% of its treatment flow
- Long flow path through the device ensures a long residence time within the treatment chamber, enhancing pollutant settling
- Delivered to site pre-assembled and ready for installation




Fig.1 Pollutant storage volumes in the First Defense®.

II. Model Sizes & Configurations

The First Defense® inlet and internal bypass arrangements are available in several model sizes and configurations. The components of the First Defense®-4HC and First Defense®-6HC have modified geometries as to allow greater design flexibility needed to accommodate various site constraints.

All First Defense® models include the internal components that are designed to remove and retain total suspended solids (TSS), gross solids, floatable trash and hydrocarbons (Fig.2a - 2b). First Defense® model parameters and design criteria are shown in Table 1.

First Defense® Components

- | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Built-In Bypass | 4. Floatables Draw-off Port | 7. Sediment Storage |
| 2. Inlet Pipe | 5. Outlet Pipe | 8. Inlet Grate or Cover |
| 3. Inlet Chute | 6. Floatables Storage | |




Fig.2a) First Defense®-4 and First Defense®-6; b) First Defense®-4HC and First Defense®-6HC, with higher capacity dual internal bypass and larger maximum pipe diameter.

First Defense® High Capacity Model Number	Diameter	Typical TSS Treatment Flow Rates		Peak Online Flow Rate	Maximum Pipe Diameter ¹	Oil Storage Capacity	Typical Sediment Storage Capacity ²	Minimum Distance from Outlet Invert to Top of Rim ³	Standard Distance from Outlet Invert to Sump Floor
		NJDEP Certified	106µm						
	(ft / m)	(cfs / L/s)	(cfs / L/s)	(cfs / L/s)	(in / mm)	(gal / L)	(yd³ / m³)	(ft / m)	(ft / m)
FD-3HC	3 / 0.9	0.84 / 23.7	1.60 / 45.3	15 / 424	18 / 457	125 / 473	0.4 / 0.3	2.0 - 3.5 / 0.6 - 1.0	3.71 / 1.13
FD-4HC	4 / 1.2	1.50 / 42.4	1.88 / 50.9	18 / 510	24 / 600	191 / 723	0.7 / 0.5	2.3 - 3.9 / 0.7 - 1.2	4.97 / 1.5
FD-5HC	5 / 1.5	2.34 / 66.2	2.94 / 82.1	20 / 566	24 / 609	300 / 1135	1.1 / .84	2.5 - 4.5 / 0.7 - 1.3	5.19 / 1.5
FD-6HC	6 / 1.8	3.38 / 95.7	4.73 / 133.9	32 / 906	30 / 750	496 / 1,878	1.6 / 1.2	3.0 - 5.1 / 0.9 - 1.6	5.97 / 1.8
FD-8HC	8 / 2.4	6.00 / 169.9	7.52 / 212.9	50 / 1,415	48 / 1219	1120 / 4239	2.8 / 2.1	3.0 - 6.0 / 0.9 - 1.8	7.40 / 2.2

¹Contact Hydro International when larger pipe sizes are required.

²Contact Hydro International when custom sediment storage capacity is required.

³Minimum distance for models depends on pipe diameter.

III. Maintenance

Overview

The First Defense® protects the environment by removing a wide range of pollutants from stormwater runoff. Periodic removal of these captured pollutants is essential to the continuous, long-term functioning of the First Defense®. The First Defense® will capture and retain sediment and oil until the sediment and oil storage volumes are full to capacity. When sediment and oil storage capacities are reached, the First Defense® will no longer be able to store removed sediment and oil. Maximum pollutant storage capacities are provided in Table 1.

The First Defense® allows for easy and safe inspection, monitoring and clean-out procedures. A commercially or municipally owned sump-vac is used to remove captured sediment and floatables. Access ports are located in the top of the manhole.

Maintenance events may include Inspection, Oil & Floatables Removal, and Sediment Removal. Maintenance events do not require entry into the First Defense®, nor do they require the internal components of the First Defense® to be removed. In the case of inspection and floatables removal, a vactor truck is not required. However, a vactor truck is required if the maintenance event is to include oil removal and/or sediment removal.

Maintenance Equipment Considerations

The internal components of the First Defense®-HC have a centrally located circular shaft through which the sediment storage sump can be accessed with a sump vac hose. The open diameter of this access shaft is 15 inches in diameter (Fig.3). Therefore, the nozzle fitting of any vactor hose used for maintenance should be less than 15 inches in diameter.




Fig.3 The central opening to the sump of the First Defense®-HC is 15 inches in diameter.

Determining Your Maintenance Schedule

The frequency of clean out is determined in the field after installation. During the first year of operation, the unit should be inspected every six months to determine the rate of sediment and floatables accumulation. A simple probe such as a Sludge-Judge® can be used to determine the level of accumulated solids stored in the sump. This information can be recorded in the maintenance log (see page 9) to establish a routine maintenance schedule.

The vactor procedure, including both sediment and oil / floatables removal, for a 6-ft First Defense® typically takes less than 30 minutes and removes a combined water/oil volume of about 765 gallons.

Inspection Procedures

1. Set up any necessary safety equipment around the access port or grate of the First Defense® as stipulated by local ordinances. Safety equipment should notify passing pedestrian and road traffic that work is being done.
2. Remove the grate or lid to the manhole.
3. Without entering the vessel, look down into the chamber to inspect the inside. Make note of any irregularities. Fig.4 shows the standing water level that should be observed.
4. Without entering the vessel, use the pole with the skimmer net to remove floatables and loose debris from the components and water surface.
5. Using a sediment probe such as a Sludge Judge®, measure the depth of sediment that has collected in the sump of the vessel.
6. On the Maintenance Log (see page 9), record the date, unit location, estimated volume of floatables and gross debris removed, and the depth of sediment measured. Also note any apparent irregularities such as damaged components or blockages.
7. Securely replace the grate or lid.
8. Take down safety equipment.
9. Notify Hydro International of any irregularities noted during inspection.

Floatables and Sediment Clean Out

Floatables clean out is typically done in conjunction with sediment removal. A commercially or municipally owned sump-vac is used to remove captured sediment and floatables (Fig.5).

Floatables and loose debris can also be netted with a skimmer and pole. The access port located at the top of the manhole provides unobstructed access for a vector hose and skimmer pole to be lowered to the base of the sump.

Scheduling

- Floatables and sump clean out are typically conducted once a year during any season.
- Floatables and sump clean out should occur as soon as possible following a spill in the contributing drainage area.



Fig.4 Floatables are removed with a vector hose (First Defense model FD-4, shown).

Recommended Equipment

- Safety Equipment (traffic cones, etc)
- Crow bar or other tool to remove grate or lid
- Pole with skimmer or net (if only floatables are being removed)
- Sediment probe (such as a Sludge Judge®)
- Vector truck (flexible hose recommended)
- First Defense® Maintenance Log

Floatables and sediment Clean Out Procedures

1. Set up any necessary safety equipment around the access port or grate of the First Defense® as stipulated by local ordinances. Safety equipment should notify passing pedestrian and road traffic that work is being done.
2. Remove the grate or lid to the manhole.
3. Without entering the vessel, look down into the chamber to inspect the inside. Make note of any irregularities.
4. Remove oil and floatables stored on the surface of the water with the vactor hose (Fig.5) or with the skimmer or net (not pictured).
5. Using a sediment probe such as a Sludge Judge®, measure the depth of sediment that has collected in the sump of the vessel and record it in the Maintenance Log (page 9).
6. Once all floatables have been removed, drop the vactor hose to the base of the sump. Vactor out the sediment and gross debris off the sump floor (Fig.5).
7. Retract the vactor hose from the vessel.
8. On the Maintenance Log provided by Hydro International, record the date, unit location, estimated volume of floatables and gross debris removed, and the depth of sediment measured. Also note any apparent irregularities such as damaged components, blockages, or irregularly high or low water levels.
9. Securely replace the grate or lid.



Fig.5 Sediment is removed with a vactor hose (First Defense model FD-4, shown).

Maintenance at a Glance

Inspection	- Regularly during first year of installation - Every 6 months after the first year of installation
Oil and Floatables Removal	- Once per year, with sediment removal - Following a spill in the drainage area
Sediment Removal	- Once per year or as needed - Following a spill in the drainage area

NOTE: For most clean outs the entire volume of liquid does not need to be removed from the manhole. Only remove the first few inches of oils and floatables from the water surface to reduce the total volume of liquid removed during a clean out.

First Defense® Installation Log

HYDRO INTERNATIONAL REFERENCE NUMBER:	
SITE NAME:	
SITE LOCATION:	
OWNER:	CONTRACTOR:
CONTACT NAME:	CONTACT NAME:
COMPANY NAME:	COMPANY NAME:
ADDRESS:	ADDRESS:
TELEPHONE:	TELEPHONE:
FAX:	FAX:

INSTALLATION DATE: / /

MODEL SIZE (CIRCLE ONE): FD-4 FD-4HC FD-6 FD-6HC

INLET (CIRCLE ALL THAT APPLY): GRATED INLET (CATCH BASIN) INLET PIPE (FLOW THROUGH)

DO IT RIGHT THE FIRST TIME

LEARN MORE AT HYDRO-INT.COM/SERVICE



CALL 1 (888) 382-7808 TO SCHEDULE AN INSPECTION

Stormwater Solutions

94 Hutchins Drive
Portland, ME 04102

Tel: (207) 756-6200
Fax: (207) 756-6212
stormwaterinquiry@hydro-int.com

www.hydro-int.com

Turning Water Around...®

A P P E N D I X E

Soil Testing Data



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

A. Facility Information

Wall Street Development Corp.

Owner Name

320 Concord Street

57-70

Street Address

Rockland

MA

Map/Lot #

02370

City

State

Zip Code

B. Site Information

1. (Check one) ☒ New Construction ☐ Upgrade ☐ Repair

2. Soil Survey Available? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes:

NRCS

260A

Source

Soil Map Unit

Sudbury Fine Sandy Loam, 0-3% slopes

none

Soil Name

Soil Limitations

sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits

terrace, outwash plain, depressions

Soil Parent material

Landform

3. Surficial Geological Report Available? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes:

2018/USGS

Coarse Deposits

Year Published/Source

Map Unit

Gravel deposits, sand and gravel deposits, and sand deposits.

Description of Geologic Map Unit:

4. Flood Rate Insurance Map Within a regulatory floodway? ☐ Yes ☒ No

5. Within a velocity zone? ☐ Yes ☒ No

6. Within a Mapped Wetland Area? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, MassGIS Wetland Data Layer:

Wetland Type

7. Current Water Resource Conditions (USGS):

10/26/21

Range: ☐ Above Normal

☒ Normal

☐ Below Normal

Month/Day/ Year

8. Other references reviewed:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

C. On-Site Review *(minimum of two holes required at every proposed primary and reserve disposal area)*

Deep Observation Hole Number: 1 11/5/21 9AM Sunny 42.115121 -70.905271
Hole # Date Time Weather Latitude Longitude

1. Land Use demolished single family home grass, overgrown vegetation some surface stones 1-2%
(e.g., woodland, agricultural field, vacant lot, etc.) Vegetation Surface Stones (e.g., cobbles, stones, boulders, etc.) Slope (%)

Description of Location: yard of 320 Concord Street, demolished single family home

2. Soil Parent Material: sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits terrace, outwash plain, depressions SH
Landform Position on Landscape (SU, SH, BS, FS, TS)

3. Distances from: Open Water Body feet Drainage Way feet Wetlands feet
Property Line >20 feet Drinking Water Well feet Other feet

4. Unsuitable Materials Present: ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: ☐ Disturbed Soil ☐ Fill Material ☐ Weathered/Fractured Rock ☐ Bedrock

5. Groundwater Observed: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 60 Depth Weeping from Pit Depth Standing Water in Hole

Soil Log

Depth (in)	Soil Horizon /Layer	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Matrix: Color-Moist (Munsell)	Redoximorphic Features			Coarse Fragments % by Volume		Soil Structure	Soil Consistence (Moist)	Other
				Depth	Color	Percent	Gravel	Cobbles & Stones			
0-8	A	LS									
8-24	Bw	LS	10YR 5/4	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
24-60	C1	LS	10YR 4/2	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
60-70	C2	SAND	10YR 4/1	-	-	-	-	-	M	F	COARSE

Additional Notes:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

C. On-Site Review *(minimum of two holes required at every proposed primary and reserve disposal area)*

Deep Observation Hole Number: 2 11/5/21 9:30AM Sunny 42.115121 -70.905271
Hole # Date Time Weather Latitude Longitude:

1. Land Use: demolished single family home grass, overgrown vegetation some surface stones 1-2%
(e.g., woodland, agricultural field, vacant lot, etc.) Vegetation Surface Stones (e.g., cobbles, stones, boulders, etc.) Slope (%)

Description of Location: yard of 320 Concord Street, demolished single family home

2. Soil Parent Material: sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits terrace, outwash plain, depressions SH
Landform Position on Landscape (SU, SH, BS, FS, TS)

3. Distances from: Open Water Body feet Drainage Way feet Wetlands feet
Property Line >20 feet Drinking Water Well feet Other feet

4. Unsuitable
Materials Present: ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes: ☐ Disturbed Soil ☐ Fill Material ☐ Weathered/Fractured Rock ☐ Bedrock

5. Groundwater Observed: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 79 Depth Weeping from Pit Depth Standing Water in Hole

Soil Log

Depth (in)	Soil Horizon /Layer	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Matrix: Color-Moist (Munsell)	Redoximorphic Features			Coarse Fragments % by Volume		Soil Structure	Soil Consistence (Moist)	Other
				Depth	Color	Percent	Gravel	Cobbles & Stones			
0-6	A	LS									
6-24	Bw	LS	10YR 5/4	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
24-36	C1	LS	10YR 4/2	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
36-90	C2	SAND	10YR 4/1	-	-	-	-	-	M	F	COARSE

Additional Notes:



Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

D. Determination of High Groundwater Elevation

1. Method Used:

	Obs. Hole # <u>1</u>	Obs. Hole # <u>2</u>
☐ Depth observed standing water in observation hole	_____ inches	_____ inches
☒ Depth weeping from side of observation hole	<u>60</u> inches	<u>79</u> inches
☐ Depth to soil redoximorphic features (mottles)	_____ inches	_____ inches
☐ Depth to adjusted seasonal high groundwater (S_h) (USGS methodology)	_____ inches	_____ inches

_____ Index Well Number _____ Reading Date

$S_h = S_c - [S_r \times (OW_c - OW_{max}) / OW_r]$

Obs. Hole/Well# _____ S_c _____ S_r _____ OW_c _____ OW_{max} _____ OW_r _____ S_h _____

2. Estimated Depth to High Groundwater: _____ inches

E. Depth of Pervious Material

1. Depth of Naturally Occurring Pervious Material

a. Does at least four feet of naturally occurring pervious material exist in all areas observed throughout the area proposed for the soil absorption system?

☐ Yes ☐ No

b. If yes, at what depth was it observed (exclude A and O Horizons)?

Upper boundary:	_____ inches	Lower boundary:	_____ inches
-----------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------

c. If no, at what depth was impervious material observed?

Upper boundary:	_____ inches	Lower boundary:	_____ inches
-----------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------



Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

F. Certification

I certify that I am currently approved by the Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to 310 CMR 15.017 to conduct soil evaluations and that the above analysis has been performed by me consistent with the required training, expertise and experience described in 310 CMR 15.017. I further certify that the results of my soil evaluation, as indicated in the attached Soil Evaluation Form, are accurate and in accordance with 310 CMR 15.100 through 15.107.

Signature of Soil Evaluator

Erik Schoumaker/SE14264

Typed or Printed Name of Soil Evaluator / License #

Date

Expiration Date of License

Name of Approving Authority Witness

Approving Authority

Note: In accordance with 310 CMR 15.018(2) this form must be submitted to the approving authority within 60 days of the date of field testing, and to the designer and the property owner with [Percolation Test Form 12](#).

Field Diagrams: Use this area for field diagrams:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

A. Facility Information

Wall Street Development Corp.

Owner Name

320 Concord Street

57-70

Street Address

Rockland

Map/Lot #

02370

City

MA
State

Zip Code

B. Site Information

1. (Check one) ☒ New Construction ☐ Upgrade ☐ Repair

2. Soil Survey Available? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes:

NRCS
Source

260A
Soil Map Unit

Sudbury Fine Sandy Loam, 0-3% slopes

Soil Name

none

Soil Limitations

sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits

Soil Parent material

terrace, outwash plain, depressions

Landform

3. Surficial Geological Report Available? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes:

2018/USGS
Year Published/Source

Coarse Deposits
Map Unit

Gravel deposits, sand and gravel deposits, and sand deposits.

Description of Geologic Map Unit:

4. Flood Rate Insurance Map Within a regulatory floodway? ☐ Yes ☒ No

5. Within a velocity zone? ☐ Yes ☒ No

6. Within a Mapped Wetland Area? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, MassGIS Wetland Data Layer:

Wetland Type

7. Current Water Resource Conditions (USGS):

10/26/21
Month/Day/ Year

Range: ☐ Above Normal

☒ Normal ☐ Below Normal

8. Other references reviewed:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

C. On-Site Review *(minimum of two holes required at every proposed primary and reserve disposal area)*

Deep Observation Hole Number: 3 11/5/21 9:30AM Sunny 42.115121 -70.905271
Hole # Date Time Weather Latitude Longitude

1. Land Use demolished single family home grass, overgrown vegetation some surface stones 1-2%
(e.g., woodland, agricultural field, vacant lot, etc.) Vegetation Surface Stones (e.g., cobbles, stones, boulders, etc.) Slope (%)

Description of Location: yard of 320 Concord Street, demolished single family home

2. Soil Parent Material: sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits terrace, outwash plain, depressions SH
Landform Position on Landscape (SU, SH, BS, FS, TS)

3. Distances from: Open Water Body feet Drainage Way feet Wetlands feet
 Property Line >20 feet Drinking Water Well feet Other feet

4. Unsuitable Materials Present: ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: ☐ Disturbed Soil ☐ Fill Material ☐ Weathered/Fractured Rock ☐ Bedrock

5. Groundwater Observed: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 72 Depth Weeping from Pit Depth Standing Water in Hole

Soil Log

Depth (in)	Soil Horizon /Layer	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Matrix: Color-Moist (Munsell)	Redoximorphic Features			Coarse Fragments % by Volume		Soil Structure	Soil Consistence (Moist)	Other
				Depth	Color	Percent	Gravel	Cobbles & Stones			
0-6	A	LS									
6-24	Bw	LS	10YR 5/4	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
24-40	C1	LS	10YR 4/2	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
40-80	C2	SAND	10YR 4/1	-	-	-	-	-	M	F	COARSE

Additional Notes:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

C. On-Site Review *(minimum of two holes required at every proposed primary and reserve disposal area)*

Deep Observation Hole Number: 4 11/5/21 10AM Sunny 42.115121 -70.905271
Hole # Date Time Weather Latitude Longitude:

1. Land Use: demolished single family home grass, overgrown vegetation some surface stones 1-2%
(e.g., woodland, agricultural field, vacant lot, etc.) Vegetation Surface Stones (e.g., cobbles, stones, boulders, etc.) Slope (%)

Description of Location: yard of 320 Concord Street, demolished single family home

2. Soil Parent Material: sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits terrace, outwash plain, depressions SH
Landform Position on Landscape (SU, SH, BS, FS, TS)

3. Distances from: Open Water Body _____ feet Drainage Way _____ feet Wetlands _____ feet
Property Line >10 feet Drinking Water Well _____ feet Other _____ feet

4. Unsuitable
Materials Present: ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes: ☐ Disturbed Soil ☐ Fill Material ☐ Weathered/Fractured Rock ☐ Bedrock

5. Groundwater Observed: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 70 Depth Weeping from Pit _____ Depth Standing Water in Hole

Soil Log

Depth (in)	Soil Horizon /Layer	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Matrix: Color-Moist (Munsell)	Redoximorphic Features			Coarse Fragments % by Volume		Soil Structure	Soil Consistence (Moist)	Other
				Depth	Color	Percent	Gravel	Cobbles & Stones			
0-6	A	LS									
6-24	Bw	LS	10YR 5/6	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
24-80	C1	SAND	10YR 4/1	-	-	-	-	-	M	F	

Additional Notes:



Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

D. Determination of High Groundwater Elevation

1. Method Used:
- | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| ☐ Depth observed standing water in observation hole | Obs. Hole # <u>3</u>
_____ inches | Obs. Hole # <u>4</u>
_____ inches |
| ☒ Depth weeping from side of observation hole | <u>72</u> inches | <u>70</u> inches |
| ☐ Depth to soil redoximorphic features (mottles) | _____ inches | _____ inches |
| ☐ Depth to adjusted seasonal high groundwater (S_h) (USGS methodology) | _____ inches | _____ inches |
- _____ Index Well Number _____ Reading Date
- $S_h = S_c - [S_r \times (OW_c - OW_{max}) / OW_r]$
- Obs. Hole/Well# _____ S_c _____ S_r _____ OW_c _____ OW_{max} _____ OW_r _____ S_h _____
2. Estimated Depth to High Groundwater: _____ inches

E. Depth of Pervious Material

1. Depth of Naturally Occurring Pervious Material
- a. Does at least four feet of naturally occurring pervious material exist in all areas observed throughout the area proposed for the soil absorption system?
- ☐ Yes ☐ No
- b. If yes, at what depth was it observed (exclude A and O Horizons)?
- | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Upper boundary: | _____ inches | Lower boundary: | _____ inches |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
- c. If no, at what depth was impervious material observed?
- | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Upper boundary: | _____ inches | Lower boundary: | _____ inches |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|



Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

F. Certification

I certify that I am currently approved by the Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to 310 CMR 15.017 to conduct soil evaluations and that the above analysis has been performed by me consistent with the required training, expertise and experience described in 310 CMR 15.017. I further certify that the results of my soil evaluation, as indicated in the attached Soil Evaluation Form, are accurate and in accordance with 310 CMR 15.100 through 15.107.

Signature of Soil Evaluator

Erik Schoumaker/SE14264

Typed or Printed Name of Soil Evaluator / License #

Date

Expiration Date of License

Name of Approving Authority Witness

Approving Authority

Note: In accordance with 310 CMR 15.018(2) this form must be submitted to the approving authority within 60 days of the date of field testing, and to the designer and the property owner with [Percolation Test Form 12](#).

Field Diagrams: Use this area for field diagrams:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

A. Facility Information

Wall Street Development Corp.

Owner Name

320 Concord Street

57-70

Street Address

Rockland

MA

Map/Lot #

02370

City

State

Zip Code

B. Site Information

1. (Check one) ☒ New Construction ☐ Upgrade ☐ Repair

2. Soil Survey Available? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes:

NRCS

260A

Source

Soil Map Unit

Sudbury Fine Sandy Loam, 0-3% slopes

none

Soil Name

Soil Limitations

sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits

terrace, outwash plain, depressions

Soil Parent material

Landform

3. Surficial Geological Report Available? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes:

2018/USGS

Coarse Deposits

Year Published/Source

Map Unit

Gravel deposits, sand and gravel deposits, and sand deposits.

Description of Geologic Map Unit:

4. Flood Rate Insurance Map Within a regulatory floodway? ☐ Yes ☒ No

5. Within a velocity zone? ☐ Yes ☒ No

6. Within a Mapped Wetland Area? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, MassGIS Wetland Data Layer:

Wetland Type

7. Current Water Resource Conditions (USGS):

10/26/21

Range: ☐ Above Normal

☒ Normal

☐ Below Normal

Month/Day/ Year

8. Other references reviewed:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

C. On-Site Review *(minimum of two holes required at every proposed primary and reserve disposal area)*

Deep Observation Hole Number: 5 11/5/21 10:30AM Sunny 42.115121 -70.905271
Hole # Date Time Weather Latitude Longitude

1. Land Use demolished single family home grass, overgrown vegetation some surface stones 1-2%
(e.g., woodland, agricultural field, vacant lot, etc.) Vegetation Surface Stones (e.g., cobbles, stones, boulders, etc.) Slope (%)

Description of Location: yard of 320 Concord Street, demolished single family home

2. Soil Parent Material: sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits terrace, outwash plain, depressions SH
Landform Position on Landscape (SU, SH, BS, FS, TS)

3. Distances from: Open Water Body feet Drainage Way feet Wetlands feet
Property Line >10 feet Drinking Water Well feet Other feet

4. Unsuitable Materials Present: ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: ☐ Disturbed Soil ☐ Fill Material ☐ Weathered/Fractured Rock ☐ Bedrock

5. Groundwater Observed: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 48 Depth Weeping from Pit Depth Standing Water in Hole

Soil Log

Depth (in)	Soil Horizon /Layer	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Matrix: Color-Moist (Munsell)	Redoximorphic Features			Coarse Fragments % by Volume		Soil Structure	Soil Consistence (Moist)	Other
				Depth	Color	Percent	Gravel	Cobbles & Stones			
0-12	A	LS									
12-20	Bw	LS	10YR 5/6	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
20-82	C1	SAND	10YR 4/1	-	-	-	-	-	M	F	COARSE

Additional Notes:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

C. On-Site Review *(minimum of two holes required at every proposed primary and reserve disposal area)*

Deep Observation Hole Number: 6 11/5/21 11AM Sunny 42.115121 -70.905271
Hole # Date Time Weather Latitude Longitude:

1. Land Use: demolished single family home grass, overgrown vegetation some surface stones 1-2%
(e.g., woodland, agricultural field, vacant lot, etc.) Vegetation Surface Stones (e.g., cobbles, stones, boulders, etc.) Slope (%)

Description of Location: yard of 320 Concord Street, demolished single family home

2. Soil Parent Material: sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits terrace, outwash plain, depressions SH
Landform Position on Landscape (SU, SH, BS, FS, TS)

3. Distances from: Open Water Body _____ feet Drainage Way _____ feet Wetlands _____ feet
Property Line >10 feet Drinking Water Well _____ feet Other _____ feet

4. Unsuitable
Materials Present: ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes: ☐ Disturbed Soil ☐ Fill Material ☐ Weathered/Fractured Rock ☐ Bedrock

5. Groundwater Observed: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 72 Depth Weeping from Pit _____ Depth Standing Water in Hole

Soil Log

Depth (in)	Soil Horizon /Layer	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Matrix: Color-Moist (Munsell)	Redoximorphic Features			Coarse Fragments % by Volume		Soil Structure	Soil Consistence (Moist)	Other
				Depth	Color	Percent	Gravel	Cobbles & Stones			
0-6	A	LS									
6-26	Bw	LS	10YR 5/5	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
26-84	C1	SAND	10YR 4/2	68"	7.5YR 5/6	10	-	-	M	F	

Additional Notes:



Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

D. Determination of High Groundwater Elevation

1. Method Used:

☐ Depth observed standing water in observation hole	Obs. Hole # <u>5</u> _____ inches	Obs. Hole # <u>6</u> _____ inches
☒ Depth weeping from side of observation hole	<u>48</u> inches	_____ inches
☒ Depth to soil redoximorphic features (mottles)	_____ inches	<u>68</u> inches
☐ Depth to adjusted seasonal high groundwater (S_h) (USGS methodology)	_____ inches	_____ inches

_____ Index Well Number _____ Reading Date

$S_h = S_c - [S_r \times (OW_c - OW_{max}) / OW_r]$

Obs. Hole/Well# _____ S_c _____ S_r _____ OW_c _____ OW_{max} _____ OW_r _____ S_h _____

2. Estimated Depth to High Groundwater: _____ inches

E. Depth of Pervious Material

1. Depth of Naturally Occurring Pervious Material

a. Does at least four feet of naturally occurring pervious material exist in all areas observed throughout the area proposed for the soil absorption system?

☐ Yes ☐ No

b. If yes, at what depth was it observed (exclude A and O Horizons)?

Upper boundary:	_____ inches	Lower boundary:	_____ inches
-----------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------

c. If no, at what depth was impervious material observed?

Upper boundary:	_____ inches	Lower boundary:	_____ inches
-----------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------



Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

F. Certification

I certify that I am currently approved by the Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to 310 CMR 15.017 to conduct soil evaluations and that the above analysis has been performed by me consistent with the required training, expertise and experience described in 310 CMR 15.017. I further certify that the results of my soil evaluation, as indicated in the attached Soil Evaluation Form, are accurate and in accordance with 310 CMR 15.100 through 15.107.

Signature of Soil Evaluator

Erik Schoumaker/SE14264

Typed or Printed Name of Soil Evaluator / License #

Date

Expiration Date of License

Name of Approving Authority Witness

Approving Authority

Note: In accordance with 310 CMR 15.018(2) this form must be submitted to the approving authority within 60 days of the date of field testing, and to the designer and the property owner with [Percolation Test Form 12](#).

Field Diagrams: Use this area for field diagrams:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

A. Facility Information

Wall Street Development Corp.

Owner Name

320 Concord Street

57-70

Street Address

Rockland

MA

Map/Lot #

02370

City

State

Zip Code

B. Site Information

1. (Check one) ☒ New Construction ☐ Upgrade ☐ Repair

2. Soil Survey Available? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes:

NRCS

260A

Source

Soil Map Unit

Sudbury Fine Sandy Loam, 0-3% slopes

none

Soil Name

Soil Limitations

sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits

terrace, outwash plain, depressions

Soil Parent material

Landform

3. Surficial Geological Report Available? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes:

2018/USGS

Coarse Deposits

Year Published/Source

Map Unit

Gravel deposits, sand and gravel deposits, and sand deposits.

Description of Geologic Map Unit:

4. Flood Rate Insurance Map Within a regulatory floodway? ☐ Yes ☒ No

5. Within a velocity zone? ☐ Yes ☒ No

6. Within a Mapped Wetland Area? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, MassGIS Wetland Data Layer:

Wetland Type

7. Current Water Resource Conditions (USGS):

10/26/21

Range: ☐ Above Normal

☒ Normal

☐ Below Normal

Month/Day/ Year

8. Other references reviewed:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

C. On-Site Review *(minimum of two holes required at every proposed primary and reserve disposal area)*

Deep Observation Hole Number: 7 11/5/21 11:30AM Sunny 42.115121 -70.905271
Hole # Date Time Weather Latitude Longitude

1. Land Use demolished single family home grass, overgrown vegetation some surface stones 1-2%
(e.g., woodland, agricultural field, vacant lot, etc.) Vegetation Surface Stones (e.g., cobbles, stones, boulders, etc.) Slope (%)

Description of Location: yard of 320 Concord Street, demolished single family home

2. Soil Parent Material: sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits terrace, outwash plain, depressions SH
Landform Position on Landscape (SU, SH, BS, FS, TS)

3. Distances from: Open Water Body feet Drainage Way feet Wetlands feet
Property Line >10 feet Drinking Water Well feet Other feet

4. Unsuitable Materials Present: ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: ☐ Disturbed Soil ☐ Fill Material ☐ Weathered/Fractured Rock ☐ Bedrock

5. Groundwater Observed: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 64 Depth Weeping from Pit Depth Standing Water in Hole

Soil Log

Depth (in)	Soil Horizon /Layer	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Matrix: Color-Moist (Munsell)	Redoximorphic Features			Coarse Fragments % by Volume		Soil Structure	Soil Consistence (Moist)	Other
				Depth	Color	Percent	Gravel	Cobbles & Stones			
0-8	A	LS									
8-25	Bw	LS	10YR 4/4	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
25-84	C1	SAND	10YR 4/1	-	-	-	-	-	M	F	COARSE

Additional Notes:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

C. On-Site Review *(minimum of two holes required at every proposed primary and reserve disposal area)*

Deep Observation Hole Number: 8 11/5/21 11:45AM Sunny 42.115121 -70.905271
Hole # Date Time Weather Latitude Longitude:

1. Land Use: demolished single family home grass, overgrown vegetation some surface stones 1-2%
(e.g., woodland, agricultural field, vacant lot, etc.) Vegetation Surface Stones (e.g., cobbles, stones, boulders, etc.) Slope (%)

Description of Location: yard of 320 Concord Street, demolished single family home

2. Soil Parent Material: sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits terrace, outwash plain, depressions SH
Landform Position on Landscape (SU, SH, BS, FS, TS)

3. Distances from: Open Water Body feet Drainage Way feet Wetlands feet
Property Line >10 feet Drinking Water Well feet Other feet

4. Unsuitable
Materials Present: ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes: ☐ Disturbed Soil ☐ Fill Material ☐ Weathered/Fractured Rock ☐ Bedrock

5. Groundwater Observed: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 60 Depth Weeping from Pit Depth Standing Water in Hole

Soil Log

Depth (in)	Soil Horizon /Layer	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Matrix: Color-Moist (Munsell)	Redoximorphic Features			Coarse Fragments % by Volume		Soil Structure	Soil Consistence (Moist)	Other
				Depth	Color	Percent	Gravel	Cobbles & Stones			
0-6	A	SL									
6-36	Bw	SL	10YR 3/4	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
36-85	C1	SAND	10YR 4/1	-	-	-	-	-	M	F	SOME CLAY

Additional Notes:



Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

D. Determination of High Groundwater Elevation

1. Method Used:

☐ Depth observed standing water in observation hole	Obs. Hole # <u>7</u> _____ inches	Obs. Hole # <u>8</u> _____ inches
☒ Depth weeping from side of observation hole	<u>64</u> inches	<u>60</u> inches
☐ Depth to soil redoximorphic features (mottles)	_____ inches	_____ inches
☐ Depth to adjusted seasonal high groundwater (S_h) (USGS methodology)	_____ inches	_____ inches

_____ Index Well Number _____ Reading Date

$S_h = S_c - [S_r \times (OW_c - OW_{max}) / OW_r]$

Obs. Hole/Well# _____ S_c _____ S_r _____ OW_c _____ OW_{max} _____ OW_r _____ S_h _____

2. Estimated Depth to High Groundwater: _____ inches

E. Depth of Pervious Material

1. Depth of Naturally Occurring Pervious Material

a. Does at least four feet of naturally occurring pervious material exist in all areas observed throughout the area proposed for the soil absorption system?

☐ Yes ☐ No

b. If yes, at what depth was it observed (exclude A and O Horizons)?

Upper boundary:	_____ inches	Lower boundary:	_____ inches
-----------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------

c. If no, at what depth was impervious material observed?

Upper boundary:	_____ inches	Lower boundary:	_____ inches
-----------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

F. Certification

I certify that I am currently approved by the Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to 310 CMR 15.017 to conduct soil evaluations and that the above analysis has been performed by me consistent with the required training, expertise and experience described in 310 CMR 15.017. I further certify that the results of my soil evaluation, as indicated in the attached Soil Evaluation Form, are accurate and in accordance with 310 CMR 15.100 through 15.107.

Signature of Soil Evaluator

Erik Schoumaker/SE14264

Typed or Printed Name of Soil Evaluator / License #

Date

Expiration Date of License

Name of Approving Authority Witness

Approving Authority

Note: In accordance with 310 CMR 15.018(2) this form must be submitted to the approving authority within 60 days of the date of field testing, and to the designer and the property owner with [Percolation Test Form 12](#).

Field Diagrams: Use this area for field diagrams:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

A. Facility Information

Wall Street Development Corp.

Owner Name

320 Concord Street

57-70

Street Address

Rockland

MA

Map/Lot #

02370

City

State

Zip Code

B. Site Information

1. (Check one) ☒ New Construction ☐ Upgrade ☐ Repair

2. Soil Survey Available? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes:

NRCS

260A

Source

Soil Map Unit

Sudbury Fine Sandy Loam, 0-3% slopes

none

Soil Name

Soil Limitations

sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits

terrace, outwash plain, depressions

Soil Parent material

Landform

3. Surficial Geological Report Available? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes:

2018/USGS

Coarse Deposits

Year Published/Source

Map Unit

Gravel deposits, sand and gravel deposits, and sand deposits.

Description of Geologic Map Unit:

4. Flood Rate Insurance Map Within a regulatory floodway? ☐ Yes ☒ No

5. Within a velocity zone? ☐ Yes ☒ No

6. Within a Mapped Wetland Area? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, MassGIS Wetland Data Layer:

Wetland Type

7. Current Water Resource Conditions (USGS):

10/26/21

Range: ☐ Above Normal

☒ Normal

☐ Below Normal

Month/Day/ Year

8. Other references reviewed:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

C. On-Site Review *(minimum of two holes required at every proposed primary and reserve disposal area)*

Deep Observation Hole Number: 9 11/5/21 12:00PM Sunny 42.115121 -70.905271
Hole # Date Time Weather Latitude Longitude

1. Land Use demolished single family home grass, overgrown vegetation some surface stones 1-2%
(e.g., woodland, agricultural field, vacant lot, etc.) Vegetation Surface Stones (e.g., cobbles, stones, boulders, etc.) Slope (%)

Description of Location: yard of 320 Concord Street, demolished single family home

2. Soil Parent Material: sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits terrace, outwash plain, depressions SH
Landform Position on Landscape (SU, SH, BS, FS, TS)

3. Distances from: Open Water Body feet Drainage Way feet Wetlands feet
Property Line >10 feet Drinking Water Well feet Other feet

4. Unsuitable Materials Present: ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: ☐ Disturbed Soil ☐ Fill Material ☐ Weathered/Fractured Rock ☐ Bedrock

5. Groundwater Observed: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 48 Depth Weeping from Pit Depth Standing Water in Hole

Soil Log

Depth (in)	Soil Horizon /Layer	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Matrix: Color-Moist (Munsell)	Redoximorphic Features			Coarse Fragments % by Volume		Soil Structure	Soil Consistence (Moist)	Other
				Depth	Color	Percent	Gravel	Cobbles & Stones			
0-6	A	LS									
6-24	Bw	LS	10YR 5/4	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
24-64	C1	SAND	10YR 4/1	-	-	-	-	-	M	F	FINE

Additional Notes:



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

C. On-Site Review *(minimum of two holes required at every proposed primary and reserve disposal area)*

Deep Observation Hole Number: 10 11/5/21 12:15PM Sunny 42.115121 -70.905271
Hole # Date Time Weather Latitude Longitude:

1. Land Use: demolished single family home grass, overgrown vegetation some surface stones 1-2%
(e.g., woodland, agricultural field, vacant lot, etc.) Vegetation Surface Stones (e.g., cobbles, stones, boulders, etc.) Slope (%)

Description of Location: yard of 320 Concord Street, demolished single family home

2. Soil Parent Material: sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits terrace, outwash plain, depressions SH
Landform Position on Landscape (SU, SH, BS, FS, TS)

3. Distances from: Open Water Body feet Drainage Way feet Wetlands feet
Property Line >10 feet Drinking Water Well feet Other feet

4. Unsuitable
Materials Present: ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes: ☐ Disturbed Soil ☐ Fill Material ☐ Weathered/Fractured Rock ☐ Bedrock

5. Groundwater Observed: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 48 Depth Weeping from Pit Depth Standing Water in Hole

Soil Log

Depth (in)	Soil Horizon /Layer	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Matrix: Color-Moist (Munsell)	Redoximorphic Features			Coarse Fragments % by Volume		Soil Structure	Soil Consistence (Moist)	Other
				Depth	Color	Percent	Gravel	Cobbles & Stones			
0-9	A	LS									
9-26	Bw	LS	10YR 5/4	-	-	-	-	5	M	F	
26-64	C1	SAND	10YR 4/1	-	-	-	-	-	M	F	FINE

Additional Notes:



Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

D. Determination of High Groundwater Elevation

1. Method Used:

☐ Depth observed standing water in observation hole	Obs. Hole # <u>9</u>	Obs. Hole # <u>10</u>
	_____ inches	_____ inches
☒ Depth weeping from side of observation hole	<u>48</u> inches	<u>48</u> inches
☐ Depth to soil redoximorphic features (mottles)	_____ inches	_____ inches
☐ Depth to adjusted seasonal high groundwater (S_h) (USGS methodology)	_____ inches	_____ inches

_____ Index Well Number _____ Reading Date

$S_h = S_c - [S_r \times (OW_c - OW_{max}) / OW_r]$

Obs. Hole/Well# _____ S_c _____ S_r _____ OW_c _____ OW_{max} _____ OW_r _____ S_h _____

2. Estimated Depth to High Groundwater: _____ inches

E. Depth of Pervious Material

1. Depth of Naturally Occurring Pervious Material

a. Does at least four feet of naturally occurring pervious material exist in all areas observed throughout the area proposed for the soil absorption system?

☐ Yes ☐ No

b. If yes, at what depth was it observed (exclude A and O Horizons)?

Upper boundary:	_____ inches	Lower boundary:	_____ inches
-----------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------

c. If no, at what depth was impervious material observed?

Upper boundary:	_____ inches	Lower boundary:	_____ inches
-----------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

F. Certification

I certify that I am currently approved by the Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to 310 CMR 15.017 to conduct soil evaluations and that the above analysis has been performed by me consistent with the required training, expertise and experience described in 310 CMR 15.017. I further certify that the results of my soil evaluation, as indicated in the attached Soil Evaluation Form, are accurate and in accordance with 310 CMR 15.100 through 15.107.

Signature of Soil Evaluator

Erik Schoumaker/SE14264

Typed or Printed Name of Soil Evaluator / License #

Date

Expiration Date of License

Name of Approving Authority Witness

Approving Authority

Note: In accordance with 310 CMR 15.018(2) this form must be submitted to the approving authority within 60 days of the date of field testing, and to the designer and the property owner with [Percolation Test Form 12](#).

Field Diagrams: Use this area for field diagrams:

A P P E N D I X F

Best Management Practices Operation and Maintenance Plans

**CONSTRUCTION PHASE POLLUTION
PREVENTION AND EROSION AND
SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN
(BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN)**

for

320 Concord Street

In

**Rockland, Massachusetts
(Assessor's Map 57, Parcel 70)**

Submitted to:

TOWN OF ROCKLAND

Prepared for:

**Wall Street Development Corp.
2 Warthin Circle
Norwood, Massachusetts 02062**

Prepared by:



**Professional Civil Engineering • Project Management • Land Planning
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101, Norwell, Massachusetts 02061
Tel.: (781) 792-3900 Facsimile: (781) 792-0333
www.mckeng.com**

January 3, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Erosion and Sedimentation Controls - Best Management Practices (BMP's)	
- Structural Practices	1
- Stabilization Practices	5
- Dust Control	11
- Non-Stormwater Discharges	11
- Soil Stockpiling	11
- Anticipated Construction Schedule	12
- Inspection/Maintenance	13
- Inspection Schedule and Evaluation Checklist	14
- Spill Containment and Management Plan	16
Plans	
- Site Topographic Map (Existing Conditions Plans within Plan Set)	
- Site Development Map (Grading and Drainage Plans within Plan Set)	
- Site Erosion and Sedimentation Plan (Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan within Plan Set)	
- Construction Detail Plan (Construction Details within Plan Set)	

Construction Phase Best Management Practices (BMP's)

Erosion and Sedimentation will be controlled at the site by utilizing Structural Practices, Stabilization Practices, and Dust Control. These practices correspond with plans entitled "Site Development, (Assessor's 57, Parcel 70), 320 Concord Street, Rockland, Massachusetts", issued October 7, 2021 and as revised hereinafter referred to as the Site Plans.

Responsible Party Contact Information:

Stormwater Management System Owner: Wall Street Development Corp.
2 Warthin Circle
Norwood, MA
Phone: (617) 922-8700

Town of Rockland Contact Information:

Rockland Highway Department
David P. Taylor Jr.
841 Market Street
Rockland, MA 02370
Phone: (781) 878-0634

Rockland Conservation Commission
242 Union Street
Rockland, MA 02370
Phone: (781) 871-1874

Rockland Building Department
Thomas Ruble
242 Union Street
Rockland, MA 02370
Phone: (781) 871-0596

Rockland Board of Health
Delshaune Flipp
242 Union Street
Rockland, MA 02370
Phone: (781) 871-1874 x1350

Structural Practices:

- 1) **Compost Filter Tube Barrier Controls** – A compost filter tube barrier will be constructed along downward slopes at the limit of work in locations shown on the plans. This control will be installed prior to major soil disturbance on the site. The sediment silt sack barrier should be installed as shown on the Construction Detail Plan.

Compost Filter Tube Design/Installation Requirements *

- a) Locate the compost filter tube where identified on the plans.

- b) The compost filter tube line should be nearly level through most of its length to impound a broad, temporary pool. The last 10 to 20 feet at each end of the silt sack should be swung slightly uphill (approximately 0.5 feet in elevation) to provide storage capacity.
- c) The compost filter tube shall be staked every 8 linear feet with 1-inch by 1-inch stakes.
- d) Compost filter tubes should be removed when they have served their useful purpose, but not before the upslope area has been permanently stabilized through one growing season. Retained sediment must be removed and properly disposed of, or mulched and seeded.

Compost Filter Tube Inspection/Maintenance *

- a) Compost filter tubes should be inspected immediately after each rainfall event of 1-inch or greater, and at least daily during prolonged rainfall. Inspect the depth of sediment, fabric tears, and to see that the fence posts are firmly in the ground. Repair or replace as necessary.
- b) Remove sediment deposits promptly after storm events to provide adequate storage volume for the next rain and to reduce pressure on the fence. Sediment will be removed from behind the sediment fence when it becomes about ½ foot deep at the compost filter tube. Take care to avoid undermining fence during cleanout.
- c) If the fabric tears, decomposes, or in any way becomes ineffective, replace it immediately.
- d) Remove all compost filter tube materials after the contributing drainage area has been properly stabilized. Sediment deposits remaining after the fabric has been removed should be graded to conform with the existing topography and vegetated.

- 2) **Sediment Fence Controls** – A sediment fence will be constructed along the limit of work as needed to prevent the spreading of fine sediments from the site. This control will be installed prior to major soil disturbance on the site. The sediment fence should be installed as shown on the Erosion Control Detail Plan and be Amoco woven polypropylene 1198 or equivalent.

Sediment Fence Design/Installation Requirements *

- e) Locate the fence upland of the hay bale barriers and where identified on the plans.
- f) The fence line should be nearly level through most of its length to impound a broad, temporary pool. The last 10 to 20 feet at each end of the fence should be swung slightly uphill (approximately 0.5 feet in elevation) to provide storage capacity.

- g) Excavate a trench approximately 8 inches deep and 4 inches wide, or a V-trench; along the line of the fence, upslope side.
- h) Fasten support wire fence (14 gauge with 6-inch mesh) securely to the upslope side of the fence posts with wire ties or staples. Wire should extend 6 inches into the trench.
- i) Attach continuous length of fabric to upslope side of fence posts. Avoid joints, particularly at low points in the fence line. Where joints are necessary, fasten fabric securely to support posts and overlap to the next post.
- j) Place the bottom one foot of fabric in the trench. Backfill with compacted earth or gravel.
- k) Filter cloth shall be fastened securely to the woven wire fence with ties spaced every 24 inches at the top, mid-section, and bottom.
- l) Sediment fences should be removed when they have served their useful purpose, but not before the upslope area has been permanently stabilized through one growing season and only following approval by the Engineering Department or their representative. Retained sediment must be removed and properly disposed of, or mulched and seeded.

Sediment Fence Inspection/Maintenance *

- e) Silt fences should be inspected immediately after each rainfall event of 1-inch or greater, and at least daily during prolonged rainfall. Inspect the depth of sediment, fabric tears, if the fabric is securely attached to the fence posts, and to see that the fence posts are firmly in the ground. Repair or replace as necessary.
 - f) Remove sediment deposits promptly after storm events to provide adequate storage volume for the next rain and to reduce pressure on the fence. Sediment will be removed from behind the sediment fence when it becomes about ½ foot deep at the fence. Take care to avoid undermining fence during cleanout.
 - g) If the fabric tears, decomposes, or in any way becomes ineffective, replace it immediately.
 - h) Remove all fencing materials after the contributing drainage area has been properly stabilized. Sediment deposits remaining after the fabric has been removed should be graded to conform to the existing topography and vegetation.
- 3) **Stabilized Construction Entrance** – A stabilized construction entrance will be placed at the proposed entrance at Concord Street. The construction entrance will keep mud and sediment from being tracked off the construction site onto Concord Street by vehicles leaving the site. The stabilized construction entrance will be installed immediately after the clear and grubbing of the roadway entrance and associated roadway fill to maintain access to the site are completed. The

stormwater runoff from the entrance will be diverted to a temporary sedimentation basin. The stabilized construction entrance shall be constructed as shown on the Construction Detail Plans.

Construction Entrance Design/Construction Requirements *

- a) Grade foundation for positive drainage towards the temporary sedimentation basin.
- b) Stone for a stabilized construction entrance shall consist of 1 to 3-inch stone placed on a stable foundation.
- c) Pad dimensions: The minimum length of the gravel pad should be 50 feet. The pad should extend the full width of the proposed roadway, or wide enough so that the largest construction vehicle will fit in the entrance with room to spare; whichever is greater.
- d) A geotextile filter fabric shall be placed between the stone fill and the earth surface below the pad to reduce the migration of soil particles from the underlying soil into the stone and vice versa. The filter fabric should be Amoco woven polypropylene 1198 or equivalent.
- e) Washing: If the site conditions are such that the majority of mud is not removed from the vehicle tires by the gravel pad, then the tires should be washed before the vehicle enters the street. The wash area shall be located at the stabilized construction entrance.
- f) Water employed in the washing process shall be directed to the temporary sedimentation basin/dewatering area as shown on the plans prior to discharge. Sediment should be prevented from entering any watercourses.

Construction Entrance Inspection/Maintenance *

- a) The entrance should be maintained in a condition that will prevent tracking or flowing of sediment onto Concord Street. This may require periodic topdressing with additional stone
- b) The construction entrance and sediment disposal area shall be inspected weekly and after heavy rains or heavy use.
- c) Mud and sediment tracked or washed onto public road shall be immediately removed by sweeping.
- d) Once mud and soil particles clog the voids in the gravel and the effectiveness of the gravel pad is no longer satisfactory, the pad must be topdressed with new stone. Replacement of the entire pad may be necessary when the pad becomes completely clogged.
- e) If washing facilities are used, the temporary sedimentation basin/dewatering area should be cleaned out as often as necessary to assure that adequate trapping efficiency and storage volume is available. Any water pumped from the

temporary sedimentation basin shall be directed into a sediment dirt bag or equivalent inlet protection prior to discharge. Discharge should not be across the disturbed construction site but rather to undisturbed areas.

- f) The pad shall be reshaped as needed for drainage and runoff control.
- g) Broken road pavement on Concord Street shall be repaired immediately.
- h) All temporary erosion and sediment control measures shall be removed within 30 days after final site stabilization is achieved or after the temporary practices are no longer needed and only following approval by the Public Works Department or their representative. Trapped sediment shall be removed or stabilized on site. Disturbed soil areas resulting from removal shall be permanently stabilized.

Stabilization Practices:

Stabilization measures shall be implemented as soon as practicable in portions of the site where construction activities have temporarily or permanently ceased, but in no case more than 14 days after the construction activity in that portion of the site has temporarily or permanently ceased, with the following exceptions.

- Where the initiation of stabilization measures by the 14th day after construction activity temporary or permanently cease is precluded by snow cover, stabilization measures shall be initiated as soon as practicable.
 - Where construction activity will resume on a portion of the site within 21 days from when activities ceased, (e.g. the total time period that construction activity is temporarily ceased is less than 21 days) then stabilization measures do not have to be initiated on that portion of the site by the 14th day after construction activity temporarily ceased.
 - The contractor shall provide erosion control measures around all soil stockpiles.
- 1) **Temporary Seeding** – Temporary seeding will allow a short-term vegetative cover on disturbed site areas that may be in danger of erosion. Temporary seeding will be done at stock piles and disturbed portions of the site where construction activity will temporarily cease for at least 21 days. The temporary seedings will stabilize cleared and unvegetated areas that will not be brought into final grade for several weeks or months.

Temporary Seeding Planting Procedures *

- a) Planting should preferably be done between April 1st and June 30th, and September 1st through September 31st. If planting is done in the months of July and August, irrigation may be required. If planting is done between October 1st and March 31st, mulching should be applied immediately after planting. If seeding is done during the summer months, irrigation of some sort will probably be necessary.

- b) Before seeding, install structural practice controls. Utilize Amoco supergro or equivalent.
- c) Select the appropriate seed species for temporary cover from the following table.

Species	Seeding Rate (lbs/1,000 sq.ft.)	Seeding Rate (lbs/acre)	Recommended Seeding Dates	Seed Cover required
Annual Ryegrass	1	40	April 1 st to June 1 st August 15 th to Sept. 15 th	¼ inch
Foxtail Millet	0.7	30	May 1 st to June 30 th	½ to ¾ inch
Oats	2	80	April 1 st to July 1 st August 15 th to Sept. 15 th	1 to 1-½ inch
Winter Rye	3	120	August 15 th to Oct. 15 th	1 to 1-½ inch

Apply the seed uniformly by hydroseeding, broadcasting, or by hand.

- d) Use effective mulch tacked and/or tied with netting to protect seedbed and encourage plant growth.

Temporary Seeding Inspection/Maintenance *

- a) Inspect within 6 weeks of planting to see if stands are adequate. Check for damage within 24 hours of the end to a heavy rainfall, defined as a 2-year storm event (i.e., 3.2 inches of rainfall within a twenty-four hour period). Stands should be uniform and dense. Reseed and mulch damaged and sparse areas immediately. Tack or tie down mulch as necessary.
 - b) Seeds should be supplied with adequate moisture. Furnish water as needed, especially in abnormally hot or dry weather. Water application rates should be controlled to prevent runoff.
- 2) **Geotextiles** - Geotextiles such as jute netting will be used in combination with other practices such as mulching to stabilize slopes. The following geotextile materials or equivalent are to be utilized for structural and nonstructural controls as shown in the following table.

Practice	Manufacturer	Product	Remarks
Sediment Fence	Amoco	Woven polypropylene 1198 or equivalent	0.425 mm opening
Construction Entrance	Amoco	Woven polypropylene 2002 or equivalent	0.300 mm opening
Outlet Protection	Amoco	Nonwoven polypropylene 4551 or equivalent	0.150 mm opening
Erosion Control (slope stability)	Amoco	Supergro or equivalent	Erosion control revegetation mix, open polypropylene fiber on degradable polypropylene net scrim

Amoco may be reached at (800) 445-7732

Geotextile Installation

- a) Netting and matting require firm, continuous contact between the materials and the soil. If there is no contact, the material will not hold the soil and erosion will occur underneath the material.

Geotextile Inspection/Maintenance *

- a) In the field, regular inspections should be made to check for cracks, tears, or breaches in the fabric. The appropriate repairs should be made.
- 3) **Mulching and Netting** – Mulching will provide immediate protection to exposed soils during the period of short construction delays, or over winter months through the application of plant residues, or other suitable materials, to exposed soil areas. In areas, which have been seeded either for temporary or permanent cover, mulching should immediately follow seeding. On steep slopes, mulch must be supplemented with netting.

Mulch Maintenance *

- a) Inspect after rainstorms to check for movement of mulch or erosion. If washout, breakage, or erosion occurs, repair surface, reseed, remulch, and install new netting.
 - b) Grass mulches that blow or wash away should be repaired promptly.
 - c) If plastic netting is used to anchor mulch, care should be taken during initial mowings to keep the mower height high. Otherwise, the netting can wrap up on the mower blade shafts. After a period of time, the netting degrades and becomes less of a problem.
 - d) Continue inspections until vegetation is well established.
- 4) **Land Grading** – Grading on fill slopes, cut slopes, and stockpile areas will be done with full siltation controls in place.

Land Grading Design/Installation Requirements

- a) Areas to be graded should be cleared and grubbed of all timber, logs, brush, rubbish, and vegetated matter that will interfere with the grading operation. Topsoil should be stripped and stockpiled for use on critical disturbed areas for establishment of vegetation. Cut slopes to be topsoiled should be thoroughly scarified to a minimum depth of 3-inches prior to placement of topsoil.
- b) Fill materials should be generally free of brush, rubbish, rocks, and stumps. Frozen materials or soft and easily compressible materials should not be used in

fills intended to support buildings, parking lots, roads, conduits, or other structures.

- c) Earth fill intended to support structural measures should be compacted to a minimum of 90 percent of Standard Proctor Test density with proper moisture control, or as otherwise specified by the engineer responsible for the design. Compaction of other fills should be to the density required to control sloughing, erosion or excessive moisture content. Maximum thickness of fill layers prior to compaction should not exceed 9 inches.
- d) The uppermost one foot of fill slopes should be compacted to at least 85 percent of the maximum unit weight (based on the modified AASHTO compaction test). This is usually accomplished by running heavy equipment over the fill.
- e) Fill should consist of material from borrow areas and excess cut will be stockpiled in areas shown on the Site Plans. All disturbed areas should be free draining, left with a neat and finished appearance, and should be protected from erosion.
- f) Infiltration basins shall be excavated, graded and shaped to subgrade elevation and shall then be suitably protected with installation of erosion control measures to prevent sediment-laden runoff from washing into the basins. The basins shall also be protected from heavy equipment activity from this point forward. Prior to application of loam and seed to infiltration basin surfaces, the contractor shall remove any unsuitable soil such as silt or clay that may have been deposited during construction. The surface shall be scarified with a York rake or other small tractor mounted equipment. The loam and seed shall then be applied as required by this document.

Land Grading Stabilization Inspection/Maintenance *

- a) All slopes should be checked periodically to see that vegetation is in good condition. Any rills or damage from erosion and animal burrowing should be repaired immediately to avoid further damage.
 - b) If seeps develop on the slopes, the area should be evaluated to determine if the seep will cause an unstable condition. Subsurface drains or a gravel mulch may be required to solve seep problems. However, no seeps are anticipated.
 - c) Areas requiring revegetation should be repaired immediately. Control undesirable vegetation such as weeds and woody growth to avoid bank stability problems in the future.
- 5) **Topsoiling *** – Topsoiling will help establish vegetation on all disturbed areas throughout the site during the seeding process. The soil texture of the topsoil to be used will be a sandy loam to a silt loam texture with 15% to 20% organic content.

Topsoiling Placement

- a) Topsoil should not be placed while in a frozen or muddy condition, when the subgrade is excessively wet, or when conditions exist that may otherwise be detrimental to proper grading or proposed seeding.

- b) Do not place topsoil on slopes steeper than 2.5:1, as it will tend to erode.
 - c) If topsoil and subsoil are not properly bonded, water will not infiltrate the soil profile evenly and it will be difficult to establish vegetation. The best method is to actually work the topsoil into the layer below for a depth of at least 6 inches.
- 6) **Permanent Seeding** – Permanent Seeding should be done immediately after the final design grades are achieved. Native species of plants should be used to establish perennial vegetative cover on disturbed areas. The revegetation should be done early enough in the fall so that a good cover is established before cold weather comes and growth stops until the spring. A good cover is defined as vegetation covering 75 percent or more of the ground surface.

Permanent Seeding Seedbed Preparation

- a) In infertile or coarse-textured subsoil, it is best to stockpile topsoil and re-spread it over the finished slope at a minimum 2 to 6-inch depth and roll it to provide a firm seedbed. The topsoil must have a sandy loam to silt loam texture with 15% to 20% organic content. If construction fill operations have left soil exposed with a loose, rough, or irregular surface, smooth with blade and roll.
- b) Loosen the soil to a depth of 3-5 inches with suitable agricultural or construction equipment.
- c) Areas not to receive topsoil shall be treated to firm the seedbed after incorporation of the lime and fertilizer so that it is depressed no more than ½ - 1 inch when stepped on with a shoe. Areas to receive topsoil shall not be firmed until after topsoiling and lime and fertilizer is applied and incorporated, at which time it shall be treated to firm the seedbed as described above.

Permanent Seeding Grass Selection/Application

- a) Select an appropriate cool or warm season grass based on site conditions and seeding date. Apply the seed uniformly by hydro-seeding, broadcasting, or by hand. Uniform seed distribution is essential. On steep slopes, hydroseeding may be the most effective seeding method. Surface roughening is particularly important when preparing slopes for hydroseeding.
- b) Lime and fertilize. Organic fertilizer shall be utilized in areas within the 100 foot buffer zone to a wetland resource area.
- c) Mulch the seedlings. Anchor the mulch with erosion control netting or fabric on sloping areas. Amoco supergro or equivalent should be utilized.

Permanent Seeding Inspection/Maintenance *

- a) Frequently inspect seeded areas for failure and make necessary repairs and reseed immediately. Conduct or follow-up survey after one year and replace failed plants where necessary.

- b) If vegetative cover is inadequate to prevent rill erosion, overseed and fertilize in accordance with soil test results.
- c) If a stand has less than 40% cover, reevaluate choice of plant materials and quantities of lime and fertilizer. Re-establish the stand following seedbed preparation and seeding recommendations, omitting lime and fertilizer in the absence of soil test results. If the season prevents resowing, mulch or jute netting is an effective temporary cover.
- d) Seeded areas should be fertilized during the second growing season. Lime and fertilize thereafter at periodic intervals, as needed.

Fueling and Maintenance of Equipment and Vehicles:

1. Refueling/maintenance Rules – The site supervisor shall produce a written document received by all subcontractors and employees that delineates their responsibilities on site. This document shall include language that shall permit the maintenance of vehicles only in designated locations on the job site. In the event of mechanical failure of a vehicle, the vehicle shall be moved to the designated maintenance area on the site to perform maintenance. The site supervisor shall document receipt of these instructions by obtaining the signatures of subcontractors and individuals that may enter the site and the date in which they were notified of their responsibilities. Refueling for vehicles or equipment shall occur either within the designated washout area or shall utilize temporary drip protection measures at the location of fueling. The site supervisor or their representative shall be present at the time of any fueling procedure. The site supervisor shall have a fuel spill plan and measures on site to initiate containment and clean-up in the event a fuel spill occurs.
2. Installation Schedule: Prior to start of Work
3. Maintenance and Inspection: The site supervisor shall maintain a log of individuals receiving these instructions.
4. Specific Pollution Prevention Practices

Pollution Prevention Practice # 1

- a. Description: Fueling operations shall take place in designated area(s) as shown on site maps. Provide temporary drip protection during fueling operations which take place outside of designated area(s). Materials necessary to address a spill shall be made readily available in a location known to the site supervisor or his/her designee.
- b. Installation: Fueling operation procedures shall be in effect throughout the project duration.
- c. Maintenance Requirements: All emergency response equipment listed in the Emergency Response Equipment Inventory shall be made readily available and kept in a designated location known to the site supervisor or his/her

designee. All such materials shall be replenished as necessary to the listed amounts.

Dust Control:

Dust control will be utilized throughout the entire construction process of the site. For example, keeping disturbed surfaces moist during windy periods will be an effective control measure, especially for construction access roads. The use of dust control will prevent the movement of soil to offsite areas. However, care must be taken to not create runoff from excessive use of water to control dust. The following are methods of Dust Control that may be used on-site:

- Vegetative Cover – The most practical method for disturbed areas not subject to traffic.
- Calcium Chloride – Calcium chloride may be applied by mechanical spreader as loose, dry granules or flakes at a rate that keeps the surface moist but not so high as to cause water pollution or plant damage.
- Sprinkling – The site may be sprinkled until the surface is wet. Sprinkling will be effective for dust control on haul roads and other traffic routes.
- Stone – Stone will be used to stabilize construction roads; will also be effective for dust control.

The general contractor shall employ an on-site water vehicle for the control of dust as necessary.

Non-Stormwater Discharges:

The construction de-watering and all non-stormwater discharges will be directed into a sediment dirt bag (or equivalent inlet protection) or a sediment basin. Sediment material removed shall be disposed of in accordance with all applicable local, state, and federal regulations.

The developer and site general contractor will comply with the E.P.A.'s Final General Permit for Construction De-watering Discharges, (N.P.D.E.S., Section 402 and 40 C.F.R. 122.26(b)(14)(x).

Soil Stockpiling:

Topsoil and subsoil from the driveway grading will be stockpiled in locations shown on the plans.

Stockpile Material Construction Procedure

- 1) Topsoil and subsoil that are stripped will be stockpiled for later distribution on disturbed areas.
- 2) The stockpiles will be located as shown on the plans. These locations will allow them to not interfere with work on the site.
- 3) Seed the stockpiles with a temporary erosion control mix if the stockpile is to remain undisturbed for more than 30 days. The stockpiles must be stable and the side slopes should not exceed 2:1.

- 4) Sediment Fence/Hay Bale Barrier erosion control measures should be placed surrounding each stockpile.
- 5) As needed, the stockpiled topsoil and subsoil are redistributed throughout the site.

Anticipated Construction Schedule:

To prevent excessive erosion and silting, the following construction sequence coupled with other widely accepted principals for reducing erosion and sedimentation shall be implemented in the development of the site.

1. Obtain all plan approvals and other applicable permits.
2. Flag the work limits and mark trees and buffer areas for protection.
3. Hold a pre-construction meeting prior to any construction activity.
4. Install stabilization practices for erosion and sediment control prior to commencing construction activities. Refer to "Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan" and place siltation fence and haybale barriers at locations indicated on the site plans.
5. Clear and grub up as required for the construction of the driveway and related infrastructure.
6. Construct stabilized construction entrance.
7. Excavate topsoil and subsoil from cut and fill areas and stockpile on site in locations shown on the plan. consideration should be given to locating stockpiles on the uphill side of disturbed areas, where possible, to act as temporary diversions.
8. Construct cut and fill areas, installing haybale check dams at toes of all 3:1 or greater slopes, and at ends of all cut areas. All fill will be installed using 12" maximum compaction lifts. Place all slope protection where indicated on the plan. the stormwater extended detention basin shall be constructed immediately after the driveway rough grading is completed and the area has been cleared of vegetation.
9. Install closed drainage system and other utilities. All catch basins shall be covered with siltsack or equivalent inlet protection.
10. Grade driveway to subgrade elevation and construct side slopes. Apply temporary stabilization measures where warranted. Refer to "Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan".
11. Place gravel subbase.
12. Place the bituminous concrete binder course on driveway and parking lot.
13. Grade slopes and stabilize cut areas at toe of slopes. blend all slopes into existing topography and loam and seed all disturbed areas. slopes greater than 3:1 shall be stabilized with jute mesh.
14. Place the final wearing course of pavement.
15. Complete fine grading of shoulders and place pavement in miscellaneous areas.
16. Remove temporary erosion control devices once adequate growth is established. adequate growth is defined as vegetation covering 75% or more of the ground surface.

Inspection/Maintenance:

Operator personnel must inspect the construction site at least once every 14 calendar days and within 24 hours of a storm event of ½-inch or greater. The applicant shall be responsible to secure the services of a design professional or similar professional (inspector) on an on-going basis throughout all phases of the project. Refer to the Inspection/Maintenance Requirements presented earlier in the “Structural and Stabilization Practices.” The inspector should review the erosion and sediment controls with respect to the following:

- Whether or not the measure was installed/performed correctly.
- Whether or not there has been damage to the measure since it was installed or performed.
- What should be done to correct any problems with the measure.

The inspector should complete the Stormwater Management Construction Phase BMP Inspection Schedule and Evaluation Checklist, as attached, for documenting the findings and should request the required maintenance or repair for the pollution prevention measures when the inspector finds that it is necessary for the measure to be effective. The inspector should notify the appropriate person to make the changes and submit copies of the form to the Rockland Highway Department.

Project Location: 320 Concord Street, Assessor's Map 57, Parcel 70, Rockland, MA
Stormwater Management – Construction Phase
Best Management Practices – Inspection Schedule and Evaluation Checklist

Date:

Construction Practices

Best Management Practice	Inspection Frequency	Date Inspected	Inspector	Minimum Maintenance and Key Items to Check	Cleaning/Repair Needed: (List Items)	Date of Cleaning/Repair	Performed by
Silt Sock and Sediment Fence Controls	After heavy rainfall events (minimum weekly)			1. Sediment Fence Design/Installation Requirements 2. Sediment Fence Inspection/Maintenance	☐ yes ☐ no		
Stabilized Construction Entrance	After heavy rainfall events (minimum weekly)			1. Construction Entrance Design/Construction Requirements 2. Construction Entrance Inspection/Maintenance	☐ yes ☐ no		
Temporary Sedimentation Basins	After heavy rainfall events (minimum weekly)			1. Sediment Basin Inspection/Maintenance	☐ yes ☐ no		
Temporary Seeding	After heavy rainfall events (minimum weekly)			1. Temporary Seeding Planting Procedures 2. Temporary Seeding Inspection/Maintenance	☐ yes ☐ no		
Geotextiles	After heavy rainfall events (minimum weekly)			1. Geotextile Inspection/Maintenance	☐ yes ☐ no		
Mulching & Netting	After heavy rainfall events (minimum weekly)			1. Mulch Maintenance	☐ yes ☐ no		
Land Grading	After heavy rainfall events (minimum weekly)			1. Land Grading Stabilization Inspection/Maintenance	☐ yes ☐ no		

Permanent Seeding	After heavy rainfall events (minimum weekly)			1. Permanent Seeding Inspection/ Maintenance	☐ yes ☐ no		
Dust Control	After heavy rainfall events (minimum weekly)				☐ yes ☐ no		
Soil Stockpiling	After heavy rainfall events (minimum weekly)				☐ yes ☐ no		

(1) Refer to the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook issued January 2, 2008.

Notes (Include deviations from : Definitive Subdivision Decision and Special Conditions and Approved Plan):

Stormwater Control Manager _____

Spill Containment and Management Plan

January 3, 2022

Initial Notification

In the event of a spill, the facility manager will be notified immediately.

Facility Managers (name) Wall Street Development Corp.

Facility Manager (phone) 617-922-8700

Assessment - Initial Containment

The supervisor will assess the incident and initiate containment control measures with the appropriate spill containment equipment included in the spill kit kept on-site. The supervisor will first contact the Fire Department and then notify the Police Department, Department of Public Works, Board of Health and Conservation Commission. The fire department is ultimately responsible for matters of public health and safety and should be notified immediately.

Contact: _____ Phone Number: _____

Fire Department: 911

Police Department: 911

Department of Public Works: (781) 878-0634

Board of Health Phone: (781) 871-1874 x1350

Conservation Commission Phone: (781) 871-1874

Further Notification

Based on the assessment from the Fire Chief, additional notification to a cleanup contractor may be made. The Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the EPA may be notified depending upon the nature and severity of the spill. The Fire Chief will be responsible for determining the level of cleanup and notification required. The attached list of emergency phone numbers shall be posted in the facility office and readily accessible to all employees.

HAZARDOUS WASTE / OIL SPILL REPORT

Date ____ / ____ / ____

Time ____ AM / PM

Exact location (Transformer #) _____

Type of equipment _____ Make _____ Size _____

S / N _____ Weather Conditions _____

On or near water ☐ Yes If yes, name of body of water _____

☐ No

Type of chemical / oil spilled _____

Amount of chemical / oil spilled _____

Cause of spill _____

Measures taken to contain or clean up spill _____

Amount of chemical / oil recovered _____ Method _____

Material collected as a result of clean up

_____ drums containing _____

_____ drums containing _____

_____ drums containing _____

Location and method of debris disposal _____

Name and address of any person, firm, or corporation suffering damages _____

Procedures, method, and precautions instituted to prevent a similar occurrence from recurring _____

Spill reported to General Office by _____ Time _____ AM / PM

Spill reported to DEP / National Response Center by _____

DEP Date ____ / ____ / ____ Time ____ AM / PM Inspector _____

NRC Date ____ / ____ / ____ Time ____ AM / PM Inspector _____

Additional comments _____

EMERGENCY RESPONSE EQUIPMENT INVENTORY

The following equipment and materials shall be maintained at all times and stored in a secure area for long-term emergency response need.

--	SORBENT PADS	1 BALE
--	SAND BAGS (empty)	5
--	SPEEDI-DRI ABSORBENT	2 – 40LB BAGS
--	12" INFLATABLE PIPE PLUG	1
--	SQUARE END SHOVELS	1
--	PRY BAR	1
--	CATCH BASIN COVER	1

EMERGENCY NOTIFICATION PHONE NUMBERS

1. FACILITY MANAGER
NAME: _____ BEEPER: _____
PHONE: _____ CELL PHONE: _____

ALTERNATE:
NAME: Lou Petrozzi BEEPER: N/A
PHONE: 617-922-8700 CEL PHONE: N/A
2. FIRE DEPARTMENT
EMERGENCY: 911
BUSINESS: (781) 878-2123

POLICE DEPARTMENT
EMERGENCY: 911
BUSINESS: (781) 871-3890

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (HIGHWAY DEPT.)
CONTACT: David Taylor
BUSINESS: (781) 878-0634
ALTERNATE:

CONSERVATION COMMISSION
CONTACT:
BUSINESS: (781) 871-1874

BOARD OF HEALTH
CONTACT: Delshaune Flipp
BUSINESS: (781) 871-1874 x1350
3. MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
EMERGENCY: (978) 694-3200
SOUTHEAST REGION - LAKEVILLE OFFICE: (508) 946-2714
4. NATIONAL RESPONSE CENTER
PHONE: (800) 424-8802

ALTERNATE: U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
EMERGENCY: (617) 223-7265
BUSINESS: (617) 860-4300

**POST-DEVELOPMENT BEST MANAGEMENT
PRACTICE
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN &
LONG-TERM POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN**

for

320 Concord Street

In

**Rockland, Massachusetts
(Assessor's Map 57, Parcel 70)**

Submitted to:

TOWN OF ROCKLAND

Prepared for:

**Wall Street Development Corp.
2 Warthin Circle
Norwood, Massachusetts 02062**

Prepared by:



**Professional Civil Engineering • Project Management • Land Planning
150 Longwater Drive, Suite 101, Norwell, Massachusetts 02061
Tel.: (781) 792-3900 Facsimile: (781) 792-0333
www.mckeng.com**

January 3, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Long Term Best Management Practices (BMP's)	
- Responsible Party Contact Information	1
- Long-Term Operation and Maintenance	1
- BMP Operation and Maintenance	2
- Maintenance Responsibilities	4
- Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan	4
- Inspection Schedule and Evaluation Checklist	7
- Spill Containment and Management Plan	8

Post-Development Best Management Practice
Operation and Maintenance Plan &
Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan

Post-Development Best Management Practices (BMPs)
Operation and Maintenance Plan

Responsible Party/Property Owner/Developer contact information:

Property Owner: Wall Street Development Corp.
2 Warthin Circle
Norwood, MA
Phone: (617) 922-8700

Developer Contact Information:

Wall Street Development Corp.
2 Warthin Circle
Norwood, MA
Phone: (617) 922-8700

Best Management Practices (BMPs) of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP's) Stormwater Management Policy (SMP) have been implemented and utilized for the project. The following information provided is to be used as a guideline for monitoring and maintaining the performance of the drainage facilities and to ensure that the quality of water runoff meets the standards set forth by the SMP. The structural Best Management Practices (BMPs) shall be inspected during rainfall conditions during the first year of operation to verify functionality.

BMPs included in the design consist of the use of:

- Paved areas maintenance
- Deep sump catch basins with hooded outlets
- Proprietary pretreatments units
- Subsurface infiltration tank systems
- Outlet protection
- Restrictions on the use of pesticides and herbicides within the 100-foot buffer zone
- Snow removal

Operation:

Once the stormwater management systems have been constructed and the driveway and parking lot has been permanently stabilized and put into action, the operation of the stormwater management system will function as intended. Stormwater runoff is directed into the catch basins and closed drainage system to the First Defense units, and lastly to the subsurface infiltration systems. The subsurface stormwater management systems have been designed to attenuate peak flows for the 1-year through 100-year storm events.

Maintenance:

- 1. Paved Areas** –Sweepers shall sweep paved areas periodically during dry weather to remove excess sediments and to reduce the amount of sediments that the drainage system shall have to remove from the runoff. The sweeping shall be conducted primarily between March 15th and November 15th. Special attention should be made to sweeping paved surfaces in March and April before spring rains wash residual sand into the drainage system.

The frequency of sweeping shall average:

- Monthly if by a high-efficiency vacuum sweeper
- Bi-weekly if by a regenerative air sweeper
- Weekly if by a mechanical sweeper

Salt used for de-icing on the parking lot during winter months shall be limited as much as possible as this will reduce the need for removal and treatment. Sand containing the minimum amount of calcium chloride (or approved equivalent) needed for handling may be applied as part of the routine winter maintenance activities.

Cost: The property owner should consult local sweeping contractors for detailed cost estimates.

- 2. Catch Basins** - Catch basin grates shall be checked quarterly and following heavy rainfalls to verify that the inlet openings are not clogged by debris. Debris shall be removed from the grates and disposed of properly. Deep sump catch basins shall be inspected and cleaned bi-annually of all accumulated sediments. Catch basins with hoods shall be inspected annually to check oil build-up and outlet obstructions. Material shall be removed from catch basins and disposed of in accordance with all applicable regulations.

Cost: Estimated \$50 - \$100 per cleaning as needed. The property owner should consult local vacuum cleaning contractors for detailed cost estimates.

- 3. Proprietary Pretreatment Units** – The proprietary pretreatment units shall be inspected and maintained from the surface, without entry into the unit a minimum of annually and following heavy rain events. Perform maintenance once the stored volume reaches 15% of the unit capacity, or immediately in the event of a spill. Perform Maintenance at quarterly intervals during the first year of installation, so an accurate maintenance schedule can be established. Sediment and debris should be removed through the 12-inch diameter outlet pipe. Alternatively, oil and floatables should be removed through the 12-inch oil inspection port. The requirements for the disposal from the units should be in compliance with all local, state and federal regulations. Please refer to the Manufacturer's Manual for additional detail on proper inspection and maintenance of the First Defense units.

Cost: Cleaning should be included along with the routine maintenance of the catch basins. The property owner should consult local vacuum cleaning contractors for detailed cost estimates.

- 4. Subsurface Infiltration Tank System** –Proper maintenance of the subsurface infiltration system is essential to the long-term effectiveness of the infiltration

function. The subsurface infiltration system shall have inspection ports and additional inspections should be scheduled during the first few months to ensure proper stabilization and function. Thereafter, they shall be checked semiannually and following heavy rainfalls, defined as a 1-year storm event exceeding 2.5 inches of rainfall within a twenty-four-hour period. Water levels in the chambers shall be checked to verify proper drainage. Ponding water in a chamber indicates failure from the bottom. If water remains within the chambers after 48-hours following a storm event, steps to restore the infiltration function shall be taken, as directed by a qualified stormwater management professional. In order to rectify the problem, accumulated sediment must be removed from the bottom of the chamber. The stone aggregate and filter fabric must be removed and replaced and the underlying soil layer must be scarified to encourage proper infiltration. Material removed from the system shall be disposed of in accordance with all applicable local, state, and federal regulations.

Cost: The property owner should consult local landscape contractors for a detailed cost estimate.

- 5. Rain Garden** –Proper maintenance of the rain garden is essential to the long-term effectiveness of the infiltration function. The rain garden shall be inspected monthly and additional inspections should be scheduled during the first few months to ensure proper stabilization and function. Thereafter, they shall be checked semiannually and following heavy rainfalls. Water levels in the garden shall be checked to verify proper drainage. Ponding water in the garden indicates failure from the bottom. If water remains within the garden after 48-hours following a storm event, steps to restore the infiltration function shall be taken, as directed by a qualified stormwater management professional. In order to rectify the problem, accumulated sediment must be removed from the bottom of the garden. The soil media and mulch must be removed and replaced and the underlying soil layer must be scarified to encourage proper infiltration. Material removed from the system shall be disposed of in accordance with all applicable local, state, and federal regulations. Soil media and hardwood mulch layers of the rain garden shall be maintained annually. Maintenance shall include inspecting and replacing the hardwood mulch layer and soil media as necessary. Any accumulated debris, leaves, sediment and trash shall be removed from the hardwood mulch and soil media layers of the rain garden in order to encourage proper infiltration.

Cost: The property owner should consult local landscape contractors for a detailed cost estimate.

- 6. Outlet Protection** - All outfall protection structures shall be inspected quarterly and following major storm events defined as a storm event exceeding one inch of rainfall within a twenty-four-hour period to check for signs for erosion. Any necessary repairs shall be performed promptly and cleaned to remove accumulated sediment as necessary. Material removed shall be disposed of in accordance with all applicable local, state, and federal regulations. Rip-Rap overflow structure shall be weeded and cleaned on a quarterly basis to ensure that water overflowing the spillway will not become obstructed by debris.

- 7. Pesticides, Herbicides, and Fertilizers** - Pesticides and herbicides shall be used sparingly. Fertilizers should be restricted to the use of organic fertilizers only.

All structural BMP's as identified on the site plans will be owned and maintained by the homeowner's association of the development and shall run with the title of the property.

Cost: Included in the routine landscaping maintenance schedule. The Owner should consult local landscaping contractors for details.

- 8. Snow Removal** - Snow accumulations removed from driveway and parking areas should be placed in upland areas only, where sand and other debris will remain after snowmelt for later removal. Excess snow should be removed from the site and properly disposed of in an approved snow disposal facility. Care must be exercised not to deposit snow in the following areas: in the rain gardens, bioswales, and where sand and debris can get into the watercourse.

Cost: The owner should consult local snow removal contractors for a detailed cost estimate.

Maintenance Responsibilities:

All post construction maintenance activities will be documented and kept on file in the form of an Evaluation Checklist, see attached form.

All structural BMPs as identified on the site plans will be owned and maintained by the developer until such a time that a homeowner's association is created, then the homeowner's association will maintain the BMP's.

Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan

Good Housekeeping:

To develop and implement an operation and maintenance program with the goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff by keeping potential pollutants from coming into contact with stormwater or being transported off site without treatment, the following efforts will be made:

- Property Management awareness and training on how to incorporate pollution prevention techniques into maintenance operations.
- Follow appropriate best management practices (BMPs) by proper maintenance and inspection procedures.
- Homeowner education outreach, including promoting recycling through the Town of Rockland Transfer Station.

Storage and Disposal of Household Waste and Toxics:

This management measure involves educating the general public on the management considerations for hazardous materials. Failure to properly store hazardous materials dramatically increases the probability that they will end up in local waterways. Many people have hazardous chemicals stored throughout their homes, especially in garages and storage sheds. Practices such as covering hazardous materials or even storing them properly, can have dramatic impacts. Property owners are encouraged to support the household hazardous product collection events sponsored by the Town of Rockland.

MADEP has prepared several materials for homeowners on how to properly use and dispose of household hazardous materials:

<http://www.mass.gov/dep/recycle/reduce/househol.htm>

For consumer questions on household hazardous waste call the following number:

DEP Household Hazardous Waste Hotline 800-343-3420

The following is a list of management considerations for hazardous materials as outlined by the EPA:

- Ensuring sufficient aisle space to provide access for inspections and to improve the ease of material transport;
- Storing materials well away from high-traffic areas to reduce the likelihood of accidents that might cause spills or damage to drums, bags, or containers.
- Stacking containers in accordance with the manufacturers' directions to avoid damaging the container or the product itself;
- Storing containers on pallets or equivalent structures. This facilitates inspection for leaks and prevents the containers from coming into contact with wet floors, which can cause corrosion. This consideration also reduces the incidence of damage by pests.

The following is a list of commonly used hazardous materials used in the household:

Batteries – automotive and rechargeable

.....nickel cadmium batteries

.....(no alkaline batteries)

Gasoline

Oil-based paints

Fluorescent light bulbs and lamps

Pool chemicals

Propane tanks

Lawn chemicals,

fertilizers and weed killers

Turpentine

Bug sprays

Antifreeze

Paint thinners, strippers, varnishes and

.....stains

Arts and crafts chemicals

Charcoal lighter fluid

Disinfectant

Drain clog dissolvers

Driveway sealer

Flea dips, sprays and collars

Houseplant insecticides

Metal polishes

Mothballs

Motor oil and filters

Muriatic acid (concrete cleaner)

Nail polishes and nail polish

removers

Oven cleaner

Household pest and rat poisons

Rug and upholstery cleaners

Shoe polish

Windshield wiper fluid

Vehicle Washing:

This management measure involves educating the general public on the water quality impacts of the outdoor washing of automobiles and how to avoid allowing polluted runoff to enter the storm drain system. Outdoor car washing has the potential to result in high loads of nutrients, metals, and hydrocarbons during dry weather conditions in many watersheds, as the detergent-rich water used to wash the grime off our cars flows down the street and into the storm drain. The following management practices will be encouraged:

- Washing cars on gravel, grass, or other permeable surfaces.
- Blocking off the storm drain during car washing and redirecting wash water onto grass or landscaping to provide filtration.
- Using hoses with nozzles that automatically turn off when left unattended.
- Using only biodegradable soaps.
- Minimize the amounts of soap and water used. Wash cars less frequently.
- Promote use of commercial car wash services.

Landscape Maintenance:

This management measure seeks to control the storm water impacts of landscaping and lawn care practices through education and outreach on methods that reduce nutrient loadings and the amount of storm water runoff generated from lawns. Nutrient loads generated by fertilizer use on suburban lawns can be significant, and recent research has shown that lawns produce more surface runoff than previously thought.

Using proper landscaping techniques can effectively increase the value of a property while benefiting the environment. These practices can benefit the environment by reducing water use; decreasing energy use (because less water pumping and treatment is required); minimizing runoff of storm and irrigation water that transports soils, fertilizers, and pesticides; and creating additional habitat for plants and wildlife. The following lawn and landscaping management practices will be encouraged:

- Mow lawns at the highest recommended height.
- Minimize lawn size and maintain existing native vegetation.
- Collect rainwater for landscaping/gardening needs (rain barrels and cisterns to capture roof runoff).
- Raise public awareness for promoting the water efficient maintenance practices by informing users of water efficient irrigation techniques and other innovative approaches to water conservation.
- Abide by water restrictions and other conservation measures implemented by the Town of Rockland.
- Water only when necessary.
- Use automatic irrigation systems to reduce water use.

Integrated Pest Management (IPM):

This management measure seeks to limit the adverse impacts of insecticides and herbicides by providing information on alternative pest control techniques other than chemicals or explaining how to determine the correct dosages needed to manage pests.

The presence of pesticides in stormwater runoff has a direct impact on the health of aquatic organisms and can present a threat to humans through contamination of drinking water supplies. The pesticides of greatest concern are insecticides, such as diazinon and chlorpyrifos, which even at very low levels can be harmful to aquatic life. The major source of pesticides to urban streams is home application of products designed to kill

insects and weeds in the lawn and garden. The following IPM practices will be encouraged:

- Lawn care and landscaping management programs including appropriate pesticide use management as part of program.
- Raise public awareness by referring homeowners to “A Homeowner’s Guide to Environmentally Sound Lawncare, Maintaining a Healthy Lawn the IPM Way”, Massachusetts Department of Food and Agriculture, Pesticide Bureau or link <http://www.mass.gov/dep/water/resources/nonpoint.htm#megaman>>

Pet Waste Management:

Pet waste management involves using a combination of pet waste collection programs, pet awareness and education, to alert residents to the proper disposal techniques for pet droppings. The following management practices will be encouraged:

- Raise awareness of homeowners that are also pet owners that they are encouraged to pick up after their pets and dispose of the waste either in the trash, including on their own lawns and walking trails.
- Provide signage along walking trails.

Proper Management of Deicing Chemicals and Snow:

Roadways shall be maintained by the Developer/Property Owners. The following deicing chemicals and snow storage practices will be encouraged:

- Select effective snow disposal sites adjacent to or on pervious surfaces in upland areas away from water resources and wells. At these locations, the snow meltwater can filter in to the soil, leaving behind sand and debris, which can be removed in the springtime.
- No roadway deicing materials shall be stockpiled on site unless all storage areas are protected from exposure to rain, snow, snowmelt and runoff.
- Avoid dumping snow into any waterbody, including wetlands, cranberry bogs, detention/infiltration basins, and grassed swales/channels.
- Avoid disposing of snow on top of storm drain catch basins.

Project Location: 320 Concord Street
Stormwater Management – Post Construction Phase
Best Management Practices – Inspection Schedule and Evaluation Checklist

Long Term Practices

Best Management Practice	Inspection Frequency (1)	Date Inspected	Inspector	Minimum Maintenance and Key Items to Check (1)	Cleaning/Repair Needed: ☐ yes ☐ no (List Items)	Date of Cleaning/Repair	Performed by
Street Sweeping Maintenance	4-times annually - specifically in Spring and Fall			1. Sediment build-up 2. Trash and debris 3. Minor Spills (vehicular)			
Deep Sump and Hooded Catch basin	After heavy rainfall events (minimum quarterly)			1. Sediment level exceeds 8" 2. Trash and debris 3. Floatable oils or hydrocarbons 4. Grate or outlet blockages			
Proprietary Pretreatment Units	After heavy rainfall events (minimum annually)			1. Sediment level exceeds Manufacturer's specification 2. Trash and debris 3. Floatable oils or hydrocarbons 4. Outlet blockages			
Subsurface Infiltration Tanks	After heavy rainfall events (minimum semi-annually)			1. Sediment build-up 2. Standing Water greater than 48 hours			
Rain Garden	After heavy rainfall events (minimum monthly, cleaned quarterly)			3. Sediment build-up 4. Standing Water greater than 48 hours 5. Remove/replace dead vegetation 6. Trash and debris			
Outlet Protection	Quarterly			1. Sediment build-up 2. Trash and debris 3. Displacement of rip rap 4. Excess vegetation			

(1) Refer to the Massachusetts Stormwater Management, Volume Two: Stormwater Technical Handbook (February 2008) for recommendations regarding frequency for inspection and maintenance of specific BMP's.

Notes (Include deviations from: Con Com Order of Conditions, PB Approval, Construction Sequence and Approved Plan):

1.

Stormwater Control Manager _____

Stamp:

Spill Containment and Management Plan

January 3, 2022

Initial Notification

In the event of a spill, the facility manager will be notified immediately.

Facility Managers (name) Wall Street Development Corp.

Facility Manager (phone) 617-922-8700

Assessment - Initial Containment

The supervisor will assess the incident and initiate containment control measures with the appropriate spill containment equipment included in the spill kit kept on-site. The supervisor will first contact the Fire Department and then notify the Police Department, Department of Public Works, Board of Health and Conservation Commission. The fire department is ultimately responsible for matters of public health and safety and should be notified immediately.

Contact: _____ Phone Number: _____

Fire Department: 911

Police Department: 911

Department of Public Works: (781) 878-0634

Board of Health Phone: (781) 871-1874 x1350

Conservation Commission Phone: (781) 871-1874

Further Notification

Based on the assessment from the Fire Chief, additional notification to a cleanup contractor may be made. The Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the EPA may be notified depending upon the nature and severity of the spill. The Fire Chief will be responsible for determining the level of cleanup and notification required. The attached list of emergency phone numbers shall be posted in the facility office and readily accessible to all employees.

HAZARDOUS WASTE / OIL SPILL REPORT

Date ____ / ____ / ____

Time ____ AM / PM

Exact location (Transformer #) _____

Type of equipment _____ Make _____ Size _____

S / N _____ Weather Conditions _____

On or near water ☐ Yes If yes, name of body of water _____

☐ No

Type of chemical / oil spilled _____

Amount of chemical / oil spilled _____

Cause of spill _____

Measures taken to contain or clean up spill _____

Amount of chemical / oil recovered _____ Method _____

Material collected as a result of clean up

_____ drums containing _____

_____ drums containing _____

_____ drums containing _____

Location and method of debris disposal _____

Name and address of any person, firm, or corporation suffering damages _____

Procedures, method, and precautions instituted to prevent a similar occurrence from recurring _____

Spill reported to General Office by _____ Time _____ AM / PM

Spill reported to DEP / National Response Center by _____

DEP Date ____ / ____ / ____ Time ____ AM / PM Inspector _____

NRC Date ____ / ____ / ____ Time ____ AM / PM Inspector _____

Additional comments _____

EMERGENCY RESPONSE EQUIPMENT INVENTORY

The following equipment and materials shall be maintained at all times and stored in a secure area for long-term emergency response need.

--	SORBENT PADS	1 BALE
--	SAND BAGS (empty)	5
--	SPEEDI-DRI ABSORBENT	2 – 40LB BAGS
--	12" INFLATABLE PIPE PLUG	1
--	SQUARE END SHOVELS	1
--	PRY BAR	1
--	CATCH BASIN COVER	1

EMERGENCY NOTIFICATION PHONE NUMBERS

1. FACILITY MANAGER
NAME: _____ BEEPER: _____
PHONE: _____ CELL PHONE: _____

ALTERNATE:
NAME: Lou Petrozzi BEEPER: N/A
PHONE: 617-922-8700 CEL PHONE: N/A
2. FIRE DEPARTMENT
EMERGENCY: 911
BUSINESS: (781) 878-2123

POLICE DEPARTMENT
EMERGENCY: 911
BUSINESS: (781) 871-3890

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (HIGHWAY DEPT.)
CONTACT: David Taylor
BUSINESS: (781) 878-0634
ALTERNATE:

CONSERVATION COMMISSION
CONTACT:
BUSINESS: (781) 871-1874

BOARD OF HEALTH
CONTACT: Delshaune Flipp
BUSINESS: (781) 871-1874 x1350
3. MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
EMERGENCY: (978) 694-3200
SOUTHEAST REGION - LAKEVILLE OFFICE: (508) 946-2714
4. NATIONAL RESPONSE CENTER
PHONE: (800) 424-8802

ALTERNATE: U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
EMERGENCY: (617) 223-7265
BUSINESS: (617) 860-4300